

# АННАЛЫ ХИРУРГИЧЕСКОЙ ГЕПАТОЛОГИИ



© МЕЖДУНАРОДНАЯ ОБЩЕСТВЕННАЯ ОРГАНИЗАЦИЯ  
“АССОЦИАЦИЯ ХИРУРГОВ-ГЕПАТОЛОГОВ”

2014. Том 19, № 1

Научно-практический журнал

Основан в 1996 г.

Регистр. № ПИ № ФС77-19824

Главный редактор **Э.И. Гальперин** (Москва, Россия)  
Зам. главного редактора **В.А. Вишневский**  
(Москва, Россия)  
Зам. главного редактора **М.В. Данилов** (Москва, Россия)  
Отв. секретарь **Т.Г. Дюжева** (Москва, Россия)

## РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ:

**Ахаладзе Г.Г.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Ахмедов С.М.** (Душанбе, Таджикистан)  
**Баймаканов Б.Б.** (Алматы, Казахстан)  
**Буриев И.М.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Бъёрг Эдвин** (Осло, Норвегия)  
**Ветшев П.С.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Ветшев С.П.** (Москва, Россия) (**научный редактор**)  
**Готье С.В.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Емельянов С.И.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Журавлев В.А.** (Киров, Россия)  
**Кармазановский Г.Г.** (Москва, Россия)  
(зам. главного редактора –  
распорядительный директор)  
**Котовский А.Е.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Кубышкин В.А.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Мамакеев М.М.** (Бишкек, Киргизия)  
**Манукян Г.В.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Наги Хабиб** (Лондон, Великобритания)  
**Назыров Ф.Г.** (Ташкент, Узбекистан)  
**Ничитайло М.Е.** (Киев, Украина)  
**Панченков Д.Н.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Патютко Ю.И.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Третьяк С.И.** (Минск, Беларусь)  
**Тулин А.И.** (Рига, Латвия)  
**Цвиркун В.В.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Шаповальянц С.Г.** (Москва, Россия)  
**Шулутко А.М.** (Москва, Россия)

## РЕДАКЦИОННЫЙ СОВЕТ:

**Альперович Б.И.** (Томск, Россия), **Багненко С.Ф.** (Санкт-Петербург, Россия), **Бебезов Б.Х.** (Бишкек, Киргизия), **Бебуришили А.Г.** (Волгоград, Россия), **Вафин А.З.** (Ставрополь, Россия), **Винник Ю.С.** (Красноярск, Россия), **Власов А.П.** (Саранск, Россия), **Гранов А.М.** (Санкт-Петербург, Россия), **Гришин И.Н.** (Минск, Беларусь), **Заривчацкий М.Ф.** (Пермь, Россия), **Каримов Ш.И.** (Ташкент, Узбекистан), **Красильников Д.М.** (Казань, Россия), **Лупальцев В.И.** (Харьков, Украина), **Оноприев В.И.** (Краснодар, Россия), **Полуэктов В.Л.** (Омск, Россия), **Прудков М.И.** (Екатеринбург, Россия), **Сейсембаев М.А.** (Алматы, Казахстан), **Совцов С.А.** (Челябинск, Россия), **Тимербулатов В.М.** (Уфа, Россия), **Чугунов А.Н.** (Казань, Россия), **Штофин С.Г.** (Новосибирск, Россия)

Зав. редакцией **Платонова Л.В.**

Журнал включен ВАК РФ в перечень ведущих рецензируемых научных журналов и изданий, в которых должны быть опубликованы основные научные результаты диссертации на соискание ученой степени доктора и кандидата наук.

### Адрес для корреспонденции:

115446, Москва, Коломенский проезд, 4,  
Клиническая больница № 7. Проф. Гальперину Э.И.  
Тел./факс (499) 782-34-68. E-mail: ashred96@mail.ru  
<http://www.hepatology.ru/journal>  
**ООО “Видар”** 109028, Москва, а/я 16.  
Контакты (495) 768-04-34, (495) 589-86-60,  
<http://www.vidar.ru>

# ANNALS OF SURGICAL HEPATOLOGY ANNALY KHIRURGICHESKOY GEPATOLOGII

© INTERNATIONAL PUBLIC ORGANIZATION  
“ASSOCIATION OF SURGICAL HEPATOLOGISTS”

2014. V. 19. N 1

Scientific and Practical JOURNAL

Est. 1996

Reg. № ПИ № ФС77-19824

Editor-in-Chief **E.I. Galperin** (Moscow, Russia)  
Associate Editor **V.A. Vishnevsky** (Moscow, Russia)  
Associate Editor **M.V. Danilov** (Moscow, Russia)  
Secretary Editor **T.G. Dyuzheva** (Moscow, Russia)

## EDITORIAL BOARD:

**Akhhaladze G.G.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Akhmedov S.M.** (Dushanbe, Tajikistan)  
**Baymakhanov B.B.** (Almaty, Kazakhstan)  
**Buriev I.M.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Bjorn Edwin** (Oslo, Norway)  
**Vetshev P.S.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Vetshev S.P.** (Moscow, Russia) (**scientific editor**)  
**Gautier S.V.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Emelianov S.I.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Zhuravlev V.A.** (Kirov, Russia)  
**Karmazanovsky G.G.** (Moscow, Russia)  
(Associate Editor – Chief Executive)  
**Kotovskiy A.E.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Kubishkin V.A.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Mamakeev M.M.** (Bishkek, Kirgizia)  
**Manukyan G.V.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Nagy Habib** (London, Great Britain)  
**Nazirov F.G.** (Tashkent, Uzbekistan)  
**Nichitaylo M.E.** (Kiev, Ukraine)  
**Panchenkov D.N.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Patyutko Yu.I.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Tretyak S.I.** (Minsk, Belarus)  
**Tulin A.I.** (Riga, Latvia)  
**Tsvirkoun V.V.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Shapovalyants S.G.** (Moscow, Russia)  
**Shulutko A.M.** (Moscow, Russia)

## BOARD OF CONSULTANTS:

**Al'perovich B.I.** (Tomsk, Russia), **Bagnenko S.F.** (St.-Petersburg, Russia), **Bebezov B.Kh.** (Bishkek, Kirgizia), **Beburishvili A.G.** (Volgograd, Russia), **Vafin A.Z.** (Stavropol, Russia), **Vinnik Yu.S.** (Krasnoyarsk, Russia), **Vlasov A.P.** (Saransk, Russia), **Granov A.M.** (St.-Petersburg, Russia), **Grishin I.N.** (Minsk, Belarus), **Zarivchatski M.F.** (Perm, Russia), **Karimov Sh.I.** (Tashkent, Uzbekistan), **Krasilnikov D.M.** (Kazan, Russia), **Lupaltcev V.I.** (Kharkov, Ukraine), **Onopriev V.I.** (Krasnodar, Russia), **Poluectov V.L.** (Omsk, Russia), **Prudkov M.I.** (Ekaterinburg, Russia), **Seysembayev M.A.** (Almaty, Kazakhstan), **Sovtsov S.A.** (Chelyabinsk, Russia), **Timerbulatov V.M.** (Ufa, Russia), **Chugunov A.N.** (Kazan, Russia), **Shtofin S.G.** (Novosibirsk, Russia)

Chief of office **Platonova L.V.**

The Journal is included in the “List of leading peer-reviewed editions, recommended for publication of Candidate's and Doctor's degree theses main results” approved by Higher Attestation Commission (VAK) RF.

### Address for Correspondence:

Prof. Galperin E.I.,  
Hospital #7, Kolomensky pr. 4, Moscow, 115446 Russia.  
Tel/Fax + 7 (499) 782-34-68. E-mail: ashred96@mail.ru  
<http://www.hepatology.ru/journal>  
**Vidar Ltd.** 109028 Moscow, p/b 16.  
Contacts + 7 (495) 768-04-34, + 7 (495) 589-86-60,  
<http://www.vidar.ru>

## CONTENTS

Publication Requirements ..... 4

**INFORMATION**Association of Surgical Hepatologists  
of SIS Countries Executive Board Plenary Session  
15–16 may 2014, Tyumen' ..... 7XXI International Congress of Association  
of Surgical Hepatologists of SIS Countries  
10–12 September 2014, Perm' ..... 8**MINIINVASIVE PANCREATIC SURGERY**Sergej Georgievich Shapovalianz –  
Editor of the Issue ..... 10

From Editor of the Issue ..... 11

Percutaneous Miniinvasive Technologies:  
History, Traditions, Negative Trends  
and Perspectives

Vetshev P.S., Musaev G.Kh., Bruslik S.V. ..... 12

Main Pancreatic Duct Stenting  
for Acute Pancreatitis Induced by Endoscopic  
Transpapillary Procedures  
(full text in english)Shapovalianz S.G., Fedorov E.D.,  
Budzinskiy S.A., Kotieva A.Yu. ..... 17Innovative Instrumentation and Techniques  
for Pancreonecrosis and Diffuse Parapancreatitis  
Transcutaneous ManagementIvshin V.G., Ivshin M.V., Malafeev I.V.,  
Yakunin A.Yu., Kremyansky M.A.,  
Romanova N.N., Nikitchenko V.V. ..... 30Retrograde Transpapillary Stenting  
of Main Pancreatic Duct in Multimodality  
Treatment of Chronic PancreatitisBudzinskiy S.A., Mylnikov A.G.,  
Cherniakovych P.L., Bakaev Z.R.,  
Shapovalianz S.G. ..... 40Irreversible Electroporation with “NanoKnife”  
in Management of Pancreatic Cancer  
(Clinical case)Panchenkov D.N., Ivanov Yu.V., Solovyov N.A.,  
Astakhov D.A., Nechunaev A.A. ..... 50**LIVER**Bio-Impedance Analysis of Liver Parenchyma  
after Major Liver Resection  
in Experimental SettingLeonov S.D., Panchenkov D.N., Alikhanov R.B.,  
Zabolzaev F.G., Ivanov Yu.V., Agibalov D.Yu.,  
Astakhov D.A., Sorokina A.V. ..... 55

Nonparasitic Hepatic Cysts Treatment

Kotelnikova L.P., Busyrev Yu.B.,

Belyakova Ya.V. ..... 60

**BILE DUCTS**Minilaparoscopic Cholecystostomy  
in Elderly Patients with Acute Cholecystitis

Sovtsov S.A., Prilepina E.V., Ionin M.A. ..... 68

Surgical Management of "Fresh" Extrahepatic  
Bile Duct InjuriesVafin A.Z., Aidemyrov A.N., Delibaltov K.I.,  
Mnatsakanyan E.G., Pogosyan G.A. ..... 75**PANCREAS**Pancreatodigestive Anastomosis  
in Radical Operations of Periampullary Tumors

Nazirov F.G., Devyatov A.V., Akbarov M.M. ..... 80

Acute Pancreatitis: Morphological Issues  
in Management of the DiseaseFirsova V.G., Parshikov V.V., Kuznetsov S.S.,  
Bugrova M.L., Yakovleva E.I. ..... 86Radical Pancreatic Resections  
for Periampullary Carcinoma in Elderly PatientsLyadov V.K., Egiev V.N., Kovalenko Z.A.,  
Kozyrin I.A., Lyadov K.V. ..... 96

Experimental Model of Pancreonecrosis

Gorsky V.A., Agapov M.A., Leonenko I.V.,  
Gafarov D.A., Murashev A.N.,  
Semushina S.G., Battaev A.I.,  
Sadovnikova E.S., Pakhomova I.A. ..... 103**REVIEW**Noninvasive Ultrasound Ablation  
of Liver Tumours

Sviridova T.I., Bruslik S.V., Vetshev P.S. ..... 110

**CASE REPORTS**Multimodal Management of Hepatocellular  
Carcinoma in Liver Cirrhosis PatientsKhanevich M.D., Manikhas G.M., Dinikin M.S.,  
Balakhnin P.V., Kukanov M.A. ..... 120Liver Transplantation in Androgen-Induced  
Hepatocellular Carcinoma:  
a Report of Two Clinical CasesKhubutia M.Sh., Novruzbekov M.S.,  
Olisov O.D., Guliaev V.A., Driaev V.T.,  
Magomedov K.M., Chugunov A.O. ..... 125**ABSTRACTS**

Abstracts of Current Foreign Publications

Akhaldzhe G.G., Akhaldzhe D.G. ..... 133

**JUBILEES**Boris Makarovich Datsenko –  
to the 80-th anniversary ..... 139Vladimir Ivanovich Mamchich –  
to the 75-th anniversary ..... 141**OBITUARY**

Viktor Sergeevich Savel'ev ..... 142

## MINIINVASIVE PANCREATIC SURGERY

**Percutaneous Miniinvasive Technologies:  
History, Traditions, Negative Trends and Perspectives**Vetshev P.S.<sup>1</sup>, Musaev G.Kh.<sup>2</sup>, Bruslik S.V.<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> N.I. Pirogov National Medico-Surgical Center; 70, Nizhnyaya Pervomaiskaya str., Moscow, 70105203, Russian Federation<sup>2</sup> Chair of Faculty Surgery N1 of Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University of Russian Federation Ministry of Healthcare; 2-8, Trubetskaya str., Moscow, 119991, Russian Federation

The issue highlights the history of miniinvasive percutaneous surgery. Some negative trends and bias, though developed in the field, are discussed. Authors point out the achievements and perspectives of modern technologies, warning against the unreasonable euphoria of method's possibilities, and call upon the use of the past experience and lessons learned by previous generation.

**Key words:** miniinvasive percutaneous surgery, tendency, perspective, endobiliary procedure.

**Vetshev Petr Sergeevitch** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Vice-President of the N.I. Pirogov National Medico-Surgical Center.  
**Musaev Gasimyavdibir Khadisovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University, Chair of Faculty Surgery N1. **Bruslik Sergey Vladimirovitch** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of the Department of the N.I. Pirogov National Medico-Surgical Center.

**For correspondence:** Musaev Gasimyavdibir Khadisovich – 3-1-52, Shvernik str., Moscow, 117449. Phone: 8-963-782-40-43. E-mail: gasiyav@mail.ru

## ● References

1. Huard P, Do-Xuan-Hop. La ponction transhépatique des canaux biliaires. *Bull. Soc. Med. Chir. Indochine*. 1937; 15: 1090.
2. Carter F, Saypol G. Transabdominal cholangiography. *JAMA*. 1952; 148 (4): 253–255.
3. Menghini G. One-second needle biopsy of the liver. *Gastroenterology*. 1958; 35 (2): 190–199.
4. Glenn F, Evans J.A., Mujahed Z., Thorbjarnarson B. *Ann. Surg.* 1962; 156: 451–462.
5. Burcharth F.A. New endoprostesis for nonoperative intubation of the biliary tract in malignant obstructive jaundice. *Surg. Gynec. Obstet.* 1978; 146 (1): 76–78.
6. Hanke S., Pedersen J.F. Percutaneus puncture of pancreatic cysts guided by ultrasound. *Surg. Gynecol. Obstet.* 1976; 142 (4): 551–552.
7. Vetshev P.S., Ippolitov L.I., Vetshev S.P., Kovalenko E.I. Argumental questions and negative tendencies in diagnostics and surgical treatment of adrenal glands incidental tumors. *Khirurgia*. 2005; 6: 11–14. (in Russian)
8. Galperin E.I., Vetshev P.S. *Rukovodstvo po khirurgii zhelchnykh putej* [Manual of biliary surgery]. Moscow: Vidar-M, 2006. 586 p. (in Russian)
9. Vetshev P.S., Musaev G.Kh. Echinococcosis: the state of art. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2006; 11 (1): 111–117. (in Russian)

## Main Pancreatic Duct Stenting for Acute Pancreatitis Induced by Endoscopic Transpapillary Procedures\*

Shapovalianz S.G.<sup>1</sup>, Fedorov E.D.<sup>1</sup>, Budzinskiy S.A.<sup>1,2</sup>, Kotieva A.Yu.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chair of Hospital Surgery № 2 with Research Laboratory Surgical Gastroenterology and Endoscopy of N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University; 1, Ostrovitianova str., Moscow, 117513, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Municipal Clinical Hospital No 31 of Health Department of Moscow; 42, Lobachevskogo str., Moscow, 119414, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To assess retrospectively the clinical outcomes of retrograde endoscopic pancreatic duct stenting for acute post-procedure pancreatitis.

**Materials and Methods.** 2192 endoscopic retrograde procedures were performed during the period of 1<sup>st</sup> January 2009 – 1<sup>st</sup> July 2013. Acute post-procedure pancreatitis (APPP) has developed in 26 (1.33%) cases – in 24 (92.3%) females and in 2 (7.7%) males. Average age in these patients was  $57.9 \pm 14.8$  years.

**Results.** Stenting of MPD was successful in 19 (90.5%) cases, resulting in full recovery. No complications of stenting procedure were observed. Average hospital stay in these patients was  $11.8 \pm 3.7$  days. Conservative management in remaining 7 (26.9%) cases resulted in average hospital stay of  $23.8 \pm 7.5$  days and 28.6% mortality rate.

**Conclusion.** Endoscopic MPD stenting for APPP was technically possible in 90.5% cases. Pancreatic stenting is an effective therapeutic modality, providing definitive cure in some patients and reduced hospital stay.

**Key words:** pancreas, pancreatitis, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, stenting.

\* full text in english

**Shapovalianz Sergej Georgievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of the Department of Hospital Surgery No 2, N.I. Pirogov RNRMU. **Fedorov Evgeny Dmitrievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chief Researcher, Scientific and Educational Center of Surgery and Endoscopy of the same University. **Budzinskiy Stanislav Aleksandrovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci, Senior Researcher, Scientific and Educational Center of Surgery and Endoscopy of the same University, Senior Registrar Endoscopy Department No 2 MCH №31, Moscow. **Kotieva Aza Yusupovna** – Postgraduate of the Department of Hospital Surgery № 2 of Medical Faculty of the same University.

**For correspondence:** Kotieva Aza Yusupovna – apt. 267, 108, Prospekt Mira str., Moscow, 129626, Russian Federation. Phone: 8-909-653-15-12. E-mail: aza-kot@yandex.ru

### References

1. Kalinin A.V., Hazanov A.I. *Klinicheskie lektsii po gastroenterologii i hepatologii* [Clinical lectures on gastroenterology and hepatology]. Moscow: N.N. Burdenko HMH, 2002; 2: 208–298. (in Russian)
2. Popov A.L., Tolstykh G.N., Borodach A.V. Results of endoscopic diagnosis and treatment of the extrahepatic biliary tract diseases patients. *Sibirskiy konsilium.* 2004; 6: 15–18. (in Russian)
3. Anderson M.A., Fisher L., Jain R., Evans J.A., Appalaneni V., Ben-Menachem T., Cash B.D., Decker G.A., Early D.S., Fanelli R.D., Fisher D.A., Fukami N., Hwang J.H., Ikenberry S.O., Jue T.L., Khan K.M., Krinsky M.L., Malpas P.M., Maple J.T., Sharaf R.N., Shergill A.K., Dominitz J.A. Complications of ERCP. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2012; 75 (3): 467–473.
4. Mancerov M.P. *Vozmozhnosti retrogradnoy holangiopahreatografii v diagnostike i lechenii patologii pankreatobiliarnoy sistemy i puti povysheniya ejo effektivnosti* [Opportunities of the retrograde cholangiopancreatography in diagnosis and treatment of pancreaticobiliary system pathology and ways to improve its effectiveness: dis. ... cand. med. sci.] Moscow, 2004. 107 p. (in Russian)
5. Aabakken L. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2012; 76 (3): 516–520.
6. Cotton P.B. Analysis of 59 ERCP lawsuits: mainly about indications. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2006; 63 (3): 378–382.
7. Williams E.J., Taylor S., Fairclough P., Hamlyn A., Logan R.F., Martin D., Riley S.A., Veitch P., Wilkinson M.L., Williamson P.R., Lombard M. Risk factors for complication following ERCP: results of a large-scale, prospective multicenter study. *Endoscopy.* 2007; 39 (9): 793–801.
8. Cotton P.B., Lehman G., Vennes J., Geenen J.E., Russell R.C., Meyers W.C., Liguory C., Nickl N. Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 1991; 37 (3): 383–393.
9. Cotton P.B. ERCP is most dangerous for people who need it least. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2001; 54 (4): 535–536.
10. Woods K.E., Willingham F.F. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography associated pancreatitis: A 15-year review. *World J. Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2010; 2 (5): 165–178.

11. Cotton P.B., Lehman G., Vennes J., Geenen J.E., Russell R.C., Meyers W.C., Liguory C., Nickl N. Endoscopic sphincterotomy complications and their management: an attempt at consensus. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 1991; 37 (3): 383–393.
12. Dumonceau J.-M., Andriulli A., Deviere J., Mariani A., Rigaux J., Baron T.H., Testoni P.A. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline: Prophylaxis of post-ERCP pancreatitis. *Endoscopy*. 2010; 42 (6): 503–515.
13. Freeman M.L., DiSario J.A., Nelson D.B., Fennerty M.B., Lee J.G., Bjorkman D.J., Overby C.S., Aas J., Ryan M.E., Bochna G.S., Shaw M.J., Snady H.W., Erickson R.V., Moore J.P., Roel J.P. Risk factors for post-ERCP pancreatitis: a prospective, multicenter study. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2001; 54 (4): 425–434.
14. Mancerov M.P., Moroz E.V. Reactive pancreatitis after endoscopic procedures on the major duodenal papilla. *Rossiyskiy zhurnal gastroenterologii, hepatologii, koloproktologii*. 2007; 3: 14–24. (in Russian)
15. Masci E., Mariani A., Curioni S., Testoni P.A. Risk factors for pancreatitis following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: a metaanalysis. *Endoscopy*. 2003; 35 (10): 830–834.
16. Vandervoort J., Soetikno R.M., Tham T.C., Wong R.C., Ferrari A.P. Jr., Montes H., Roston A.D., Slivka A., Lichtenstein D.R., Ruymann F.W., Van Dam J., Hughes M., Carr-Locke D.L. Risk factors for complications after performance of ERCP. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2002; 56 (5): 652–656.
17. Moroz E.V., Ardashev V.N., Mancerov M.P., Dziuba K.V., Tsyrik V.A. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of reactive pancreatitis occurring after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). *Obshaya reanimatologiya*. 2006; 2 (3): 37–41. (in Russian)
18. Cooper S.T., Slivka A. Incidence, risk factors, and prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis. *Gastroenterol. Clin. North Am.* 2007; 36 (2): 259–276.
19. Cotton P.B. Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: maximizing benefits and minimizing risks. *Gastrointest. Endosc. Clin. N. Am.* 2012; 22 (3): 587–599.
20. Kumar N., Gergi M.A., Thompson C.C. Obesity is an independent risk factor for post-ERCP pancreatitis: results of a nationwide database analysis. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2012; 75 (4S): AB142.
21. Testoni P.A. Facts and fiction in the pharmacologic prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis: a never-ending story. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2006; 64 (5): 732–734.
22. Testoni P.A. Simple measures to prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis? *Gut*. 2008; 57 (9): 1197–1198.
23. Elmunzer B.J., Scheiman J.M., Lehman G.A., Chak A., Mosler P., Higgins P.D., Hayward R.A., Romagnuolo J., Elta G.H., Sherman S., Waljee A.K., Repaka A., Atkinson M.R., Cote G.A., Kwon R.S., McHenry L., Piraka C.R., Wamsteker E.J., Watkins J.L., Korsnes S.J., Schmidt S.E., Turner S.M., Nicholson S., Fogel E.L. A randomized trial of rectal indomethacin to prevent Post-ERCP pancreatitis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012; 366 (15): 1414–1422.
24. Baron T.H., Abu Dayyeh B.K., Zinsmeister A.R. Rectal indomethacin to prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012; 367 (3): 277–278; author reply 278–279.
25. Lee T.H., Moon J.H., Choi H.J., Han S.H., Cheon Y.K., Cho Y.D., Park S.H., Kim S.J. Prophylactic temporary 3F pancreatic duct stent to prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis in patients with a difficult biliary cannulation: a multicenter, prospective, randomized study. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2012; 76 (3): 578–585.
26. Gargiulo A., Barbaro F., Valentini G.B., Caserta G., Gargiulo A., Manzi F., Mereu A., Occhigrossi G., Pinto L., Schiavone M. Endoscopic sphincterotomy in acute biliary pancreatitis. A multicenter study. *Minerva Chir.* 1998; 53 (12): 965–971.
27. Freeman M.L. Pancreatic stents for prevention of post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2007; 5 (11): 1354–1365.
28. Andriulli A., Forlano R., Napolitano G., Conoscitore P., Caruso N., Pilotto A., Di Sebastiano P.L., Leandro G. Pancreatic duct stents in the prophylaxis of pancreatic damage after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography: a systematic analysis of benefits and associated risks. *Digestion*. 2007; 75 (2–3): 156–163.
29. Fazel A., Quadri A., Catalano M.F., Meyerson S.M., Geenen J.E. Does a pancreatic duct stent prevent post-ERCP pancreatitis? A prospective randomized study. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2003; 57 (3): 291–294.
30. Harewood G.C., Pochron N.L., Gostout C.J. Prospective, randomized, controlled trial of prophylactic pancreatic stent placement for endoscopic snare excision of the duodenal ampulla. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 2005; 62 (3): 367–370.

## ***Innovative Instrumentation and Techniques for Pancreonecrosis and Diffuse Parapancreatitis Transcutaneous Management***

Ivshin V.G.<sup>1</sup>, Ivshin M.V.<sup>2</sup>, Malafeev I.V.<sup>3</sup>, Yakunin A.Yu.<sup>3</sup>,

Kremyansky M.A.<sup>3</sup>, Romanova N.N.<sup>3</sup>, Nikitchenko V.V.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Center of New Medical Technologies; 2, Novomedvensky lane, Tula, 300004, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Scientific and Production Company CHANCE; 2B, Moskovskoe shosse, Tula, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup>Tula Regional Hospital; 1A, Yablochkov str., Tula, 300004, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To develop a set of innovative surgical instruments for percutaneous management of pancreonecrosis and diffuse parapancreatitis and validate this instrumentation in clinical practice.

**Materials and Methods.** Percutaneous puncture approach was tested in 53 patients, among them alcoholic pancreatitis was diagnosed in 40 cases, alimentary – in 6, biliary – in 6 and post-traumatic – in 1. By types of cellulitis (following A.D. Tolstoy classification) all cases were distributed as follows: type D – 31 cases, type C – 11, type E – 11. 2–3 retroperitoneal cellular spaces were involved in 32 patients, 4–5 spaces – in 19, and 6&7 retroperitoneal cellular spaces – in 1 patient each. Infected pancreonecrosis was verified prior to initiation of treatment in 41 patients. Percutaneous draining of involved retroperitoneal spaces and focal accumulations of liquid, replacing initial drainage-system with larger diameter tubes and transcutaneous sequestrectomies (necrotomies) were the modalities of choice in this group of patients. All procedures were performed with invented surgical instruments.

**Results.** There were no failures, technical issues or complications, either during primary draining procedures or drainage-system replacements. Only 2 complicated cases due to large diameter of primary draining systems were seen. In 33 (62.3%) cases surgeries were avoided, although 2 (6.1%) of these patients died. In 20 (37.7%) cases surgical procedures were performed, 7 (35%) patients from this subgroup died. Totally 44 (83%) out of 53 patients recovered and 9 (17%) died.

**Conclusion.** Percutaneous puncture providing access for surgical and therapeutic management of pancreonecrosis and diffuse parapancreatitis is a promising technique. The set of innovative surgical instruments and techniques developed for this purpose allow to simplify transcutaneous procedures, making them more safe and reliable while reducing the incidence and severity of complications, and making it technically feasible to effectively remove retroperitoneal fat sequesters.

**Key words:** pancreas, pancreonecrosis, parapancreatitis, percutaneous draining, instruments, transcutaneous sequestrectomy.

**Ivshin Vladislav Gennadievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Director General of Center of New Medical Technology, Professor Medical Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education, Moscow State University of Food Production. **Ivshin Maxim Vladyslavovich** – Director General of Scientific and Production Company CHANCE, Surgeon of Surgery Department of Tula Regional Hospital, Assistant Department of Surgery No2 Medical Institute of Tula State University. **Malafeev Igor Vladimirovich** – Surgeon Offices Roentgenosurgical Diagnostic and Treatment Methods. **Yakunin Alexander Yurievich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of the same Department. **Kremyansky Maxim Anatolievich** – Surgeon General Surgery Department of the same Hospital. **Romanova Nina Nikolaevna** – Head of the Department of General Surgery in the same Hospital. **Nikitchenko Vladimir Vladimirovich** – Surgeon General Surgery Department of the same Hospital, Assistant Department of Surgery No2 Medical Institute, Tula State University.

**For correspondence:** Ivshin Vladislav Gennadievich – Center of New Medical Technologies, 2, str. Novomedvensky lane, Tula, 300004. Tel./Fax: 4872-41-90-90. E-mail: ivshin@cnmt-tula.ru

### **References**

1. Nesterenko Yu.A., Laptev V.V., Mihaylusov S.V. *Diagnostika i lechenie destruktivnogo pankreatita* [Diagnosis and treatment of destructive pancreatitis]. Moscow: BINOM-Press, 2004. 304 p. (in Russian)
2. Pugaev A.V., Achkasov E.E. *Ostry pankreatit* [Acute pancreatitis]. Moscow: Profile, 2007. 336 p. (in Russian)
3. Savel'yev V.S., Filimonov M.I., Burnevich S.Z. *Pankreonekrosy* [Pancreatonecrosis]. Moscow: Medical Information Agency, 2008. 264 p. (in Russian)
4. *Ostry pankreatit: rukovodstvo dlya vrachei* [Acute pancreatitis: a manual for physicians]. Ed. prof. E.V. Nedashkovskiy. Moscow: GEOTAR Media, 2009. 272 p. (in Russian)
5. Ermolov A.S., Ivanov P.A., Blagovestnov D.A., Grishin A.V., Andreev V.G. *Diagnostika i lechenie ostrogo pankreatita* [Diagnosis and treatment of acute pancreatitis]. Moscow: Vidar-M, 2013. 384 p. (in Russian)
6. Tolstoy A.D., Panov V.P., Krasnogorov V.B., Vashetko R.V., Skorodumov A.V. *Parapankreatit. Etiologiya, patogenes, diagnostika, lechenie* [Parapankreatitis. Etiology, pathogenesis, diagnosis, treatment]. Saint-Petersburg: Yasniy Svet, 2003. 256 p. (in Russian)

7. Patent 98111 Russian Federation, MPK7 A61B17/34. *Ustroistvo dlya creshkozhnoi punkcii i drenirovaniya polostnyh obrazovanij* [Device for percutaneous puncture and drainage of the hollow bodies]. Ivshin V.G., Andreev Y.G., Ivshin M.V., Larin S.A., Kirtanasov Y.P. declarer and the patent owner Ivshin V.G., Andreev Y.G.. 2010115247/14; stated 19.04.2010; published 10.10.2010; Bulletin №28, 12 p. with illustrations. (in Russian)
8. Ivshin V.G., Yakunin A.Yu., Makarov Yu.I. Percutaneous transhepatic diagnostic and therapeutic interventions in obstructive jaundice patients. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 1996; 1 (1): 121–131. (in Russian)
9. Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V. *Chreshkozhnoe lechenie bol'nyh s pankreonekrosom i rasprostranennym parapankreatitom* [Percutaneous treatment of patients with necrotizing pancreatitis and advanced parapankreatitis]. Tula: Grif and K°, 2013. 128 p. (in Russian)
10. Patent 126942 Russian Federation, MPK7 A61M25/00. *Cateter* [Catheter]. Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V., Ivshin D.V. declarer and the patent owner Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V., Ivshin D.V. 2012135970/14, stated 22.08.2012, published 20.04.2013; Bulletin №13, 7 p. with illustrations. (in Russian)
11. Patent 118854 Russian Federation, MPK7 A61B17/34 A61M27/00. *Ustroistvo dlya chreshkozhnoi punktsii i drenirovaniya polostnyh obrazovanij* [Device for percutaneous puncture and drainage of the hollow bodies]. Ivshin V.G., Andreev Y.G., Ivshin M.V., declarer and the patent owner Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V. 2012104745/14, stated 10.02.2012, published 10.08.2013; Bulletin №22, 8 p. with illustrations. (in Russian)
12. Patent 136322 Russian Federation, MPK7 A61B17/34. *Khirurgicheskij zazhim* [Surgical clamp]. Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V., Ivshin D.V. declarer and the patent owner Ivshin V.G., Ivshin M.V., Ivshin D.V. 2013105158/14, stated 07.02.2013, published 10.01.2014; Bulletin №1, 1 p. with illustrations. (in Russian)

## **Retrograde Transpapillary Stenting of Main Pancreatic Duct in Multimodality Treatment of Chronic Pancreatitis**

Budzinskiy S.A.<sup>1,2</sup>, Mylnikov A.G.<sup>1</sup>, Cherniakovich P.L.<sup>2</sup>, Bakaev Z.R.<sup>1</sup>, Shapovalianz S.G.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chair of Hospital Surgery No 2 with Research Laboratory Surgical Gastroenterology and Endoscopy Scientific and Educational Center of Abdominal Surgery and Endoscopy Russian National Research Medical University name of N.I. Pirogov; 1, Ostrovitianova str., Moscow, 117513, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Municipal Clinical Hospital No 31 of Health Department of Moscow; 42, Lobachevskogo str., Moscow, 119414, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To verify the role of endoscopic transpapillary procedures in management of stenotic lesions and impaired continuity of main pancreatic duct (MPD) in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

**Materials and Methods.** 215 endoscopic procedures for the obstruction of pancreatic ducts were performed in 95 patients with chronic pancreatitis during the period from January 1998 – December 2013. The study group included 52 (54.7%) patients with pancreatic duct strictures and 43 (45.3%) patients with pancreatic fistula. Pancreaticoduodenal endoprostheses were implanted in all cases.

**Results.** Endoscopic prostheses were successfully implanted in 64 (67.4%) patients, and in 45 (70.3%) cases this procedure has become the definitive treatment. Temporary stenting of MPD was required in 19 (29.7%) patients as an intermediate procedure before definitive surgery. Complications of endoscopic procedures were observed in 6 (2.8%) cases, there was also 1 (0.5%) death.

**Conclusion.** Pancreatic stenting was technically possible in 67.4% cases, while endoscopic correction could be used as definitive surgery in the majority of patients, yielding low complication and mortality rate.

**Key words:** pancreas, chronic pancreatitis, main pancreatic duct stricture, pancreatic fistula, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, stenting.

**Budzinskiy Stanislav Aleksandrovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Senior Researcher, Scientific and Educational Center of Surgery and Endoscopy N.I. Pirogov RNRMU, Senior Registrar Endoscopy Department No 2 MCH No 31, Moscow. **Mylnikov Andrej Gennad'evich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Associate Professor, Department of Hospital Surgery No 2 of the same University. **Cherniakovich Pavel Leonidovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of the Department of Endoscopy No 2, MCH No 31, Moscow. **Bakaev Zurab Ruslanovich** – Graduate Student of the Department of Hospital Surgery No 2, N.I. Pirogov RNRMU. **Shapovalianz Sergej Georgievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of the Department of Hospital Surgery No 2, N.I. Pirogov RNRMU.

**For correspondence:** Budzinskiy Stanislav Aleksandrovich – 4-2-69, 2 Truzhenikov pereulok, Moscow, 119121.

Phone: +7-910-468-73-45. E-mail: Stanislav.budzinskiy@mail.ru

### ● References

1. *Zabolevaemost' naseleniya Rossii v 2009 g. FGU "TsNII OIZ Minzdravotsrazvitiya RF"* [Incidence of the population of Russia in 2009. Ministry of Health and Social Development of the Russian Federation]. Moscow, 2010; part 1: 44–46. (in Russian)
2. Dite P., Stary K., Novotny I., Precechtelova M., Dolina J., Lata J., Zboril V. Incidence of chronic pancreatitis in the Czech Republic. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2001; 13 (8): 748–750.
3. Secknus R., Mossner J. Changes in incidence and prevalence of acute and chronic pancreatitis in Germany. *Chirurgia*. 2000; 71 (3): 249–252.
4. Beger H.G., Warshaw A.L., Büchler M.W., Kozarek R.A., Lerch M.M., Neoptolemos J.P., Shiratori K., Whitcomb D.C., Rau B.M. *The Pancreas*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing Limited, 2008. 1006 p.
5. Rösch T., Daniel S., Scholz M., Huibregtse K., Smits M., Schneider T., Ell C., Haber G., Riemann J.F., Jakobs R., Hintze R., Adler A., Neuhaus H., Zavoral M., Zavada F., Schusdziarra V., Soehendra N. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy Research Group. Endoscopic treatment of chronic pancreatitis: A multicenter study of 1000 patients with long-term follow-up. *Endoscopy*. 2002; 34 (10): 765–771.
6. Andren-Sandberg A., Dervenis C. Pancreatic pseudocysts in the 21st century. Part I: classification, pathophysiology, anatomic considerations and treatment. *JOP*. 2004; 5: 8–24.
7. Danilov M.V., Fedorov V.D. *Khirurgiya podzheludochnoy zhelesy. Rukovodstvo dlya vrachei* [Pancreas surgery. The management for doctors]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1995. 512 p. (in Russian)
8. Wilson C., Varadarajulu Sh. Endoscopic therapy for chronic pancreatitis: an evidence-based review. *Curr. Gastroenterol. Rep.* 2006; 8 (2): 104–110.

9. Kozarek R.A. Pancreas. Endoscopic and interventional therapy of chronic pancreatitis. *Indian J. Gastroenterol.* 2002; 21: 67–73.
10. Hastier P., Rouquier P., Buckley M. Endoscopic treatment of wirsungo-cysto-pleural fistula. *Eur. J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 1998; 10 (6): 527–529.
11. Shapovalian S.G., Mylnikov A.G. *Khronicheskiy pankreatit i kisty podzheludochnoi zhelesy* [Chronic pancreatitis and pancreas cysts]. In: Savel'ev V.S., Kirienko A.I., eds. *Khirurgicheskie bolezni* [Surgical diseases]. Moscow: GEOTAR-Media, 2006. 446–473. (in Russian)
12. Maev I.V., Kazulin A.N., Kucheryavyy Yu.A. *Khronicheskiy pankreatit. Lechenie Khronicheskogo pankreatita* [Chronicpancreatitis: Treatmentofchronic pancreatitis]. Moscow: Meditsina Publ., 2005. P. 308–385. (in Russian)
13. Diener M.K., Rahbari N.N., Fischer L., Antes G., Büchler M.W., Seiler C.M. Duodenum-preserving pancreatic head resection versus pan-creatoduodenectomy for surgical treatment of chronic pancreatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann. Surg.* 2008; 247 (6): 950–961.
14. Isaji S. Has the Partington procedure for chronic pancreatitis become a thing of the past? A review of the evidence. *J. Hepatobiliary Pancreat. Sci.* 2010; 17 (6): 763–769.
15. Traverso L.W., Kozarek R.A. Pancreatoduodenectomy for chronic pancreatitis: anatomic selection criteria and subsequent long-term outcome analysis. *Ann. Surg.* 1997; 226 (4): 429–438.
16. Sakorafas G.H., Farnell M.B., Nagorney D.M., Sarr M.G., Rowland C.M. Pancreatoduodenectomy for chronic pancreatitis: long-term results in 105 patients. *Arch. Surg.* 2000; 135 (5): 517–524.
17. Testoni P.A. Endoscopic stenting in benign pancreatic diseases. *J. Pancreas.* 2007; 8 (1 Suppl.): 141–150.
18. Heyries L., Sahel J. Endoscopic treatment of chronic pancreatitis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2007; 13 (46): 6127–6133.
19. Tandan M., Reddy D.N., Santosh D., Vinod K., Ramchandani M., Rajesh G., Rama K., Lakhtakia S., Banerjee R., Pratap N., Venkat RAO G. Extracorporeal shock wave lithotripsy and endotherapy for pancreatic calculi – a large single center experience. *Indian J. Gastroenterol.* 2010; 29 (4): 143–148.
20. Dumonceau J.-M., Andriulli A., Deviere J., Mariani A., Riqaux J., Baron T.H., Testoni P.A. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline: prophylaxis of post-ERCP pancreatitis. *Endoscopy.* 2010; 42 (6): 503–515.
21. Ponchon T., Bory R.M., Hedelius F., Roubein L.D., Paliard P., Napoleon B., Chavaillon A. Endoscopic stenting for pain relief in chronic pancreatitis: results of a standardized protocol. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 1995; 42 (5): 452–456.
22. Farnbacher M.J., Muhldorfer S., Wehler M., Fisher B., Hahn E.G., Schneider H.T. Interventional endoscopic therapy in chronic pancreatitis including temporary stenting: a definitive treatment? *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 2006; 41 (1): 111–117.
23. Boursier J., Quentin V., Le Tallec V., Maurin A., Person B., O'Toole D., Boyer J. Endoscopic treatment of painful chronic pancreatitis: Evaluation of a new flexible multiperforated plastic stent. *Gastroenterol. Clin. Biol.* 2008; 32 (10): 801–805.
24. Zieber J.J., DiSario J.A. Dilation of refractory pancreatic duct strictures: the turn of the screw. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 1999; 49 (5): 632–635.
25. Weber A., Schneider J., Neu B., Meining A., Born P., Schmid R.M., Prinz C. Endoscopic stent therapy for patients with chronic pancreatitis: results from a prospective follow-up study. *Pancreas.* 2007; 34 (3): 287–294.
26. Eleftheriadis N., Dinu F., Delhaye M., Le Moine O., Baize M., Vandermeiren A., Hookey L., Deviere J. Long-term outcome after pancreatic stenting in severe chronic pancreatitis. *Endoscopy.* 2005; 37 (3): 223–230.
27. Morgan D., Smith J., Hawkins K., Wilcox C. Endoscopic stent therapy in advanced chronic pancreatitis: relationships between ductal changes, clinical response, and stent patency. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2003; 98 (4): 821–826.
28. Vitale G.C., Cothron K., Vitale E.A., Cothron K., Vitale E.A., Rangnekar N., Zavaleta C.M., Larson G.M., Binford J., Hammond B. Role of pancreatic duct stenting in the treatment of chronic pancreatitis. *Surg. Endosc.* 2004; 18 (10): 1431–1434.
29. Costamagna G., Bulajic M., Tringali A., Pandolifi M., Gabbielli A., Spada C., Petruzzello L., Familiari P., Mutiqnani M. Multiple stenting of refractory pancreatic duct strictures in severe chronic pancreatitis: long-term results. *Endoscopy.* 2006; 38 (3): 254–259.
30. Shalimov A.A., Grubnik V.V., Gorovits D.I. et al. *Khronicheskiy pankreatit: sovremennye konsepcii patogenesa, diagnostiki i lecheniya* [Chronic pancreatitis: modern concepts of pathogenesis, diagnostics and treatments]. Kiev: Zdorov'ya, 2000. 166 p. (in Russian)
31. Delhaye M., Arvanitakis M., Verset G., Cremer M., Deviere J. Long-term clinical outcome after endoscopic pancreatic ductal drainage for patients with painful chronic pancreatitis. *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2004; 2 (12): 1096–1106.

## ***Irreversible Electroporation with "NanoKnife" in Management of Pancreatic Cancer (Clinical case)***

**Panchenkov D.N., Ivanov Yu.V., Solovyov N.A., Astakhov D.A., Nechunaev A.A.**

*Department of Surgery Faculty of Continued Professional Education, Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery Scientific Research Institute of Medicine and Dentistry, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry; 1, 20, Delegatskaya str., Moscow, 127473, Russian Federation*

*Federal Research Clinical Centre Federal Medico-Biological Agency of Russia; 28, Orehovy boulevard, Moscow, 115682, Russian Federation*

**Aim.** To demonstrate the first experience in Russian Federation with new non-thermal ablation modality for management of pancreatic cancer, i.e. non-thermal irreversible electroporation (NTIRE) of pancreatic head tumor.

**Materials and Methods.** Pancreatic head cancer T4N0M0 was diagnosed in a 56 y.o patient "A". Surgical revision on 24.04.2013 identified a non-resectable cancer of pancreatic head invading duodenum and v. cava inferior. NTIRE procedure, 80 pulses – 70 µsek, 30 A, 8 minutes total exposure was done via 4 mono-polar "NanoKnife" electrodes, introduced into the tumor tissue at a 1.5 cm distance.

**Results.** No intra-op procedural complications were observed. Acute pancreatitis was diagnosed on day 7 postop, successfully managed with conservative treatment. Control multimodal imaging in November 2013, including US, MRI, and computerized X-ray did not reveal any signs of recurrent disease. Total FU duration is 7 months, and follow up will be continued.

**Conclusion.** Irreversible electroporation (IRE) can be viewed as a safe and effective non-thermal ablation procedure. This particular ablation modality allows multiple use in an individual patient, therefore offers an extended capacity of medical assistance for patients with initially non-resectable pancreatic cancer. Further studies will help to outline strict indications for IRE-procedures, as well as optimize the number of required NTIREs.

**Key words:** irreversible electroporation, pancreatic cancer, non-thermal ablation, minimally invasive surgery.

**Panchenkov Dmitry Nikolaevich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of Chair of Surgery FPDE Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry named after A.I. Evdokimov, Lead Researcher at the Department of Abdominal and Endocrine Surgery Institute of Clinical Surgery of Federal Research Clinical Center FMBA of Russia. **Ivanov Yury Victorovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor of Surgery of the same University, Head of the Department of Surgery Federal Research Clinical Center FMBA of Russia. **Solovyov Nikolai Alekseevich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Assistant Professor of Surgery of the same University. Surgeon, Department of Surgery, Federal Research Clinical Center FMBA of Russia. **Astakhov Dmitry Anatolyevich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Senior Scientist, Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery at the same University, Oncologist, Oncology Department Federal Research Clinical Center FMBA of Russia. **Nechunaev Alexey Aleksandrovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Assistant of Chair of Surgery at the same University, Surgeon Clinical Diagnostic Center Federal Research Clinical Center FMBA of Russia.

**For correspondence:** Astakhov Dmitry Anatolyevich – 28, Orehovy boulevard, Moscow, 115682, Russian Federation.  
Phone: 8-926-014-13-65. E-mail: astakhov@mail.ru

### **● References**

- Патютко Ю.И., Котельников А.Г., Абгарян М.Г. Современное хирургическое и комбинированное лечение больных экзокринным раком головки поджелудочной железы и органов периампулярной зоны. Практическая онкология. 2004; 2: 94–107. [Patyutko Y.I., Kotelnikov A.G., Apkarian M.G. Modern surgical and combined treatment of patients with exocrine pancreatic head cancer and organ of periampullar zone. *Prakticheskaya onkologiya*. 2004, 2: 94–107. (in Russian)]
- Haller D.G. Future directions in treatment of pancreatic cancer. *Semin. Oncol.* 2002; 29 (6): 31–39.
- Davalos R.V., Mir L.M., Rubinsky B. Tissue ablation with irreversible electroporation. *An. Biomed. Eng.* 2005; 33 (2): 223–231.
- Meaking W.S., Edgerton J., Wharton C.W., Meldrum R.A. Electroporation induced damage in mammalian cell DNA. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 1995; 1264 (3): 357–362.
- Beebe S.J., Blackmore P.F., White J., Joshi R.P., Schoenbach K.H. Nanosecond pulsed electric fields modulate cell function through intracellular signal transduction mechanisms. *Physiol. Meas.* 2004; 25 (4): 1077–1093.
- Livraghi T., Solbiati L., Meloni M.F. Treatment of focal liver tumors with percutaneous radiofrequency ablation: complications encountered in a multicenter study. *Radiology*. 2003; 226 (2): 441–451.

7. Rhim H., Yoon K.H., Lee J.M., Cho Y., Cho J.S., Kim S.H., Lee W.J., Lim H.K., Nam G.J., Han S.S., Kim Y.H., Park C.M., Kim P.N., Byun J.Y. Major complications after radiofrequency thermal ablation of hepatic tumors: spectrum of imaging findings. *Radiographics*. 2003; 23 (1): 123–134.
8. Livraghi T., Meloni F., Solbiati L., Zanus G. Complications of microwave ablation for liver tumors: results of a multicenter study. *Cardiovasc. Interv. Radiol.* 2012; 35 (4): 868–874.
9. Rubinsky B., Onik G., Mikus P. Irreversible electroporation: a new ablation modality – clinical implications. *Technol. Cancer Res. Treat.* 2007; 6 (1): 37–48.
10. Lee E.W., Chen C., Prieto V.E., Dry S.M., Loh C.T., Kee S.T. Advanced hepatic ablation technique for creating complete cell death: irreversible electroporation. *Radiology*. 2010; 255 (2): 426–433.
11. Martin R.C. 2nd, McFarland K., Ellis S., Velanovich V. Irreversible electroporation therapy in the management of locally advanced pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2012; 215 (3): 361–369.
12. Neal R.E. 2nd, Davalos R.V. The feasibility of irreversible electroporation for the treatment of breast cancer and other heterogeneous systems. *Ann. Biomed. Eng.* 2009; 37 (12): 2615–2625.

## Bio-Impedance Analysis of Liver Parenchyma after Major Liver Resection in Experimental Setting

Leonov S.D.<sup>1</sup>, Panchenkov D.N.<sup>1</sup>, Alikhanov R.B.<sup>2</sup>, Zabozlaev F.G.<sup>3</sup>,

Ivanov Yu.V.<sup>1</sup>, Agibalov D.Yu.<sup>1</sup>, Astakhov D.A.<sup>1</sup>, Sorokina A.V.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chair of Surgery of Postgraduate Medical Education Faculty, Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery

Scientific-Research Institute of Medicine and Dentistry, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry, Ministry of Health of Russian Federation; 20-1, Delegatskaya str., Moscow, 127473, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Chair of Surgery, Faculty of Fundamental Medicine, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University; 1, Leninskie Gory, Moscow, 119991, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup>Federal Scientific & Research Clinical Center of Specialized Medical Care and Medical Technologies, Federal Medical & Biological Agency of Russian Federation; 28, Orechowy bul., Moscow, 115682, Russian Federation

**Aim.** Assessment of liver parenchyma biophysical characteristics before and after major liver resection.

**Materials and Methods.** Measurements of liver parenchyma electrical impedance values before and after major liver resection was performed on 27 white Vistar rats of both genders weighing 180–230 grams.

**Results.** Electrical impedance values of intact liver were  $3.2 \pm 0.2$  k Ohms at 2 kHz frequency,  $2.3 \pm 0.1$  k Ohms at 10 kHz and  $1.7 \pm 0.11$  k Ohms at 20 kHz. In 72 hours postop the impedance value has definitely increased up to  $4.0 \pm 0.13$  k Ohms at 2 kHz frequency. During this period consistent increase of  $D_{2\text{kHz}/20\text{kHz}}$  coefficient value up to  $2.45 \pm 0.10$  as compared with baseline  $1.95 \pm 0.12$  was observed.

**Conclusion.** Obtained data indicate the possible use of bio-impedance tool for assessment of liver parenchyma functional activity.

**Key words:** liver, major liver resection, electrical impedance, bio-impedancemetry.

**Leonov Sergey Dmitrievich** – Docent of Chair of Surgery & Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Postgraduate Medical Education Faculty, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry. **Panchenkov Dmitriy Nikolaevich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of Chair of Surgery & Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Postgraduate Medical Education Faculty of same University. **Alikhanov Ruslan Bogdanovich** – Docent, Chair of Surgery, Faculty of Fundamental Medicine, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University. **Zabozlaev Fedor Georgievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of Pathoanatomical Department, Federal Scientific & Research Clinical Center of Specialized Medical Care and Medical Technologies. **Ivanov Yuryi Victorovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of Surgical Department of the same center. **Agibalov Dmitry Yurievich** – Surgeon-physician, Chair of Surgery, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry. **Astakhov Dmitry Anatolievich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Senior Researcher of Laboratory of Minimally Invasive Surgery, A.I. Evdokimov Moscow State University of Medicine and Dentistry. **Sorokina Anastasia Victorovna** – Undergraduate Student, Faculty of Fundamental Medicine, M.V. Lomonosov Moscow State University.

**For correspondence:** Leonov Sergey Dmitrievich – Apt. 241, 29, M. Konev passage, Smolensk, 214019, Russian Federation. Phone: +7-906-517-60-08. E-mail: leonov-serg@yandex.ru

### References

1. Sinchenko G.I., Roman L.D., Karachun A.M. The structure of surgical treatment's postoperative complications of locally advanced or metastatic gastric cancer. *Vestnik khirurgicheskoy gastroenterologii*. 2008; 4: 65. (in Russian)
2. Darvin V.V., Onishchenko S.V., Lysak M.M. *Rannie posleoperacyjnye oslozhneniya v khirurgii metastazov kilirektal'nogo raka v pechen'* [Early postoperative complications in surgery of colorectal cancer liver metastases]. Proceedings of the XIX International Conference Surgeons Hepatologists of Russia and SIS countries. Irkutsk, 2012: 45–46. (in Russian)
3. Kemeny N.E., Gonon M. Hepatic arterial infusion after liver resection. *Engl. J. Med.* 2005; 352 (7): 734–735.
4. Galperin E.I., Neklyudova E.A., Semendiyeva M.I. *Nedostatochnost' pecheni* [Liver failure]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1978. 328 p. (in Russian)
5. Metwally M.A., Zein C.O., Zein N.N. Predictors and noninvasive identification of severe liver fibrosis in patients with chronic hepatitis C. *Dig. Dis. Sci.* 2007; 52 (2): 582–588.
6. Dzidzava I.I., Kotiv B.N., Kashkin D.P., Kochatkova A.A., Bugayev S.A., Smorodsky A.V., Slobodyanik A.V. Quantitative assessment of hepatic function by indocyanine green clearance test. *Transplantologia*. 2010; 1: 30–36. (in Russian)
7. Khastaev B.D. Impedance method in biomedical research and its instrumentation. *Meditinskaya tekhnika*. 1996, 3: 34–40. (in Russian)
8. Belik D.V., Belik K.D. Increasing informativeness in the definition of small arrays of cancer tumors by multi-frequency impedance. *Meditinskaya tekhnika*. 2007; 4: 13–17. (in Russian)
9. Tornuev Yu.V., Khachatryan A.P., Khachatryan R.G. *Elektricheskiy impedans biologicheskikh tkanej* [Electrical impedance of biological tissues]. Moscow: Publ. VZPI, 1990. 155 p. (in Russian)
10. Istomin N.P., Ivanov Yu.V., Panchenkov D.N. *Osobennosti pankreaticheskoy i posleoperacionnoj pechenochnoy nedostatochnosti v khirurgii* [Features of postoperative pancreatic and liver failure in surgery]. Moscow, 2011. 206 p. (in Russian)
11. Chikoteev S.P., Plekhanov A.N., Kornilov N.G. Current views on the liver regeneration. *Khirurgia*. 2001; 6: 59–62. (in Russian)

## Nonparasitic Hepatic Cysts Treatment

Kotelnikova L.P.<sup>1,3</sup>, Busyrev Yu.B.<sup>2,4</sup>, Belyakova Ya.V.<sup>1,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Chair of Postgraduate Education and Specialized Professional Retraining and <sup>2</sup> Chair of Faculty Surgery with the Course of Urology of Acad. E.A. Vagner Perm State Medical Academy of Russian Ministry of Healthcare; 26, Petropavlovskay str., Perm, 61400, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup> Perm Regional Clinical Hospital; 43, Kuibisheva str., Perm, 61400, Russian Federation

<sup>4</sup> Perm Clinical Hospital №2; 36, Plehanov str., Perm, 61400, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To optimize indications for different miniinvasive surgical (MIS) procedures in management of nonparasitic hepatic cysts and polycystic liver disease.

**Materials and Methods.** 62 patients with nonparasitic hepatic cysts and 38 patients with polycystic liver disease were subjected to different types of surgery, such as laparotomic (31.7%) and MIS procedures (68.3%), including: laparoscopic, MIS-assisted cyst fenestration and liver resection, percutaneous puncture and sclerotherapy. Long-term outcomes and QOL assessment with multipurpose short-form health survey SF-36 were performed in 6–12 months postop.

**Results.** Laparoscopic fenestration was the modality of choice in 76.5% of all cases for cysts localized in anterior and posterior liver segments with favorable postop course, short-term hospital stay and rehabilitation period, as well as low recurrence rate (6.6%). In cases where laparoscopic procedures were contraindicated, available options for management of nonparasitic hepatic cysts included: MIS-assisted fenestrations – for management of thick-walled cysts in anterior liver segments, and percutaneous puncture and aspiration modalities – for management of thin-walled and intraparenchymal cysts. QOL has definitely improved in patients with polycystic liver disease ( $p < 0.001$ ;  $R^2 = -0.29$ ) after surgery, except for physical functioning scaled scores, which is indicative of MIS palliative procedures superiority in management of this particular type of lesions.

**Conclusion.** MIS procedure of choice for nonparasitic hepatic cysts and polycystic liver disease management would depend on localization of a particular lesion, comorbid status of a patient and types of surgeries performed prior to planned intervention. Laparoscopic and MIS – assisted fenestration is associated with recurrence rate of 0–10%, which is comparable with that after open surgeries.

**Key words:** nonparasitic hepatic cysts, polycystic liver disease, laparoscopic and MIS-assisted fenestration, percutaneous puncture and sclerotherapy.

**Kotelnikova Ludmila Pavlovna** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of the Chair of Postgraduate Education and Specialized Professional Retraining of Perm State Medical Academy. **Busyrev Yury Borisovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Lecturer of the Department of Faculty Surgery with the Sectional Course of Urology of the same Academy. **Belyakova Yana Valeryevna** – Postgraduate of the Chair of Postgraduate Education and Specialized Professional Retraining of the same Academy.

**For correspondence:** Kotelnikova Ludmila Pavlovna – App. 72, 51a, Lunacharskogo str., Perm, 614000, Russia.  
Phone: 8-902-835-69-65. E-mail: splaksin@mail.ru

### References

1. Starkov Y.G., Vishnevskiy V.A., Shishin K.V., Efanov M.G., Jantukhanova S.V. The results of laparoscopic and conventional surgical treatment of nonparasitic liver cysts. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 15 (2): 46–52. (in Russian)
2. Weber T., Sendt W., Scheele J. Laparoscopic unroofing of non-parasitic liver cysts within segments VII and VIII: technical considerations. *J. Laparoendoscop. Adv. Surg. Tech. A.* 2004; 14 (1): 37–42.
3. Garcea G., Rajesh A., Dennison A.R. Surgical management of cystic lesions in the liver. *J. Gastroenterol.* 2010; 16 (24): 3040–3048.
4. Schnelldorfer T., Torres V.E., Zakaria S., Rosen C.B., Nagorney D.M. Polycystic Liver Disease. *Ann. Surg.* 2009; 250 (1): 112–118.
5. Skipenko O.G., Bagmet N.N., Kochieva M.P., Tarasiuk T.I. Resolution of the strategy issues in biliary cysts. *Khirurgia*. 2010; 5: 9–14. (in Russian)
6. Szabo L.S., Takacs I., Arcosy P., Sapy P., Szentkereszty Z. Laparoscopic treatment of nonparasitic hepatic cysts. *Surg. Endosc.* 2006; 20 (4): 595–597.
7. Macutkiewicz C., Plastow R., Chrispijn M., Filobbos R., Ammori B., Sherlock D.J., Drenth J., O'Reilly D.A. Complications arising in simple and polycystic liver cysts. *World J. Hepatol.* 2012; 4 (12): 406–411.
8. Tret'jak S.I., Larionov A.I., Hryshhanovich V.Ja. Puncture treatment of serous cysts of the liver. *Almanakh instituta khirurgii imeni A.V. Vishnevskogo*. 2011; 6 (2): 318–319. (in Russian)

9. Orlov O.G. *Khirurgicheskoe lechenie prostykh kist pecheni s ispolzovaniem mimidostupa. Khirurgicheskaya hepatologiya: Materialy III Mezhdunarodnoy nauchno-prakticheskoy konferentzi "Vysokie tekhnologii v meditsine"* [The surgical treatment of the simple cysts of the liver through the minimal access. Proceedings of the III International Scientific-Practical Conference "High Technologies in Medicine"]. Moscow, 2010. P. 38–39. (in Russian)
10. Shutov V.Ju., Podol'skij E.N., Kuhta A.K. Minimally invasive treatment of non-parasitic cysts of the liver by means of ultrasound guided puncture-aspiration technique. *Almanakh instituta khirurgii imeni A.V. Vishnevskogo*. 2011; 6 (2): 346. (in Russian)
11. Starkov Ju.G., Vishnevskij V.A., Shishin K.V. Laparoscopic operations in the treatment of focal liver lesions. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2008; 13 (1): 34–41. (in Russian)
12. Kochieva M.P. *Khirurgicheskaya taktika pri neparazitarnykh kistah pecheni* [Surgical strategy in nonparasitic hepatic cysts: dis. ... cand. med. sci.]. Moscow, 2010. 84 p. (in Russian)
13. Matevosyan V.R. *Topicheskaya differencialnaya diagnostika neparazitarnykh kist pecheni i vybor metoda khirurgicheskogo lecheniya* [Topical differential diagnosis of nonparasitic cysts and the choice of surgical treatment: dis. ... cand. med. sci.]. Moscow, 2006. 121 p. (in Russian)
14. Gigot J.F., Metairie S., Etienne J., Horsmans Y., van Beers B.E., Sempoux C., Deprez P., Materne R., Geubel A., Glineur D., Gianello P. The surgical management of congenital liver cysts. *Surg. Endosc.* 2001; 15 (4): 357–363.
15. Fiamingo P., Tedeschi U., Veroux M., Cillo U., Brolese A., Da Rold A., Madia C., Zanus G., D'amico D.F. Laparoscopic treatment of simple hepatic cysts and polycystic liver disease. *Surg. Endosc.* 2003; 17 (4): 623–626.
16. Zarivchackiy M.F., Pirozhnikov O.Ju., Mugatarov I.N., Gavrilov O.V. Principles of treatment of non-parasitic cysts of the liver. *Vestnik khirurgii*. 2006; 165 (4): 31–33. (in Russian)
17. Bistriz L., Tamboli C., Bigam D., Bain V.G. Polycystic liver disease: experience at a teaching hospital. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2005; 100 (10): 2212–2217.
18. Arnold H.L., Harrison S.A. New advances in evaluation and management of patients with polycystic liver disease. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2005; 100 (11): 2569–2582.
19. Bryce Y., Wood B., Baron P., Gibbs L. An unusual congenital hepatic cyst in an adolescent and review of differential diagnoses of complex liver cysts. *J. Radiol. Case Rep.* 2008; 2 (4): 18–23.
20. Gamblin T.C., Holloway S.E., Heckman G.T., Geller D.A. Laparoscopic resection of benign hepatic cysts: a new standart. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2008; 207 (5): 731–736.
21. Carswell R.A., Sagias F.G., Murgatroyd B., Rela M., Heaton N., Patel A.G. Laparoscopic versus open left lateral segmentectomy. *BMC Surg.* 2009; 9 (14): 9.
22. Lobakov A.I., Rumjancev V.B., Zaharov Ju.I. Results of surgical treatment of true cysts of the liver. *Almanakh instituta khirurgii imeni A.V. Vishnevskogo*. 2011; 6 (2): 104–105. (in Russian)
23. Giulante F., D'Acapito F., Vellone M., Giovannini I., Nuzzo G. Risk for laparoscopic fenestration of liver cysts. *Surg. Endosc.* 2003; 17 (11): 1735–1738.
24. Potashov L.V., Vasil'ev V.V., Malahova T.V., Liver I.I. Possibilities in the liver non-parasitic cysts treatment. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2002; 7 (1): 149. (in Russian)
25. Gall T.M., Oniscu G.C., Madhavan K., Parks R.W., Garden O.J. Surgical management and longterm follow-up of non-parasitic hepatic cysts. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2009; 11 (3): 235–241.
26. Timerbulatov M.V., Imaev A.B. The results of the invasive treatment in the liver and spleen nonparasitic cysts. *Permskiy meditsinskiy zhurnal*. 2010; 27 (2): 29–33. (in Russian)
27. Smolkina A.V., Manucharov A.A., Dojko M.I., Kazyhanov R.I., Toneev E.N. The study of quality of life in the liver nonparasitic cysts patients. *Sovremennye problemy nauki i obrazovaniya*. 2013; 2: URL: [www.science-education.ru/108-8816](http://www.science-education.ru/108-8816) (date of circulation: 22.06.2013). (in Russian)

**BILE DUCTS**

## **Minilaparoscopic Cholecystostomy in Elderly Patients with Acute Cholecystitis**

Sovtsov S.A.<sup>1</sup>, Prilepina E.V.<sup>2</sup>, Ionin M.A.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>South-Ural State Medical University Ministry of Health of Russian Federation; 64, Vorovskogo street, Chelyabinsk, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup>Chelyabinsk Municipal Polyclinic No 6; 36a, Komsomolskiy avenue, Chelyabinsk, 454138, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup>Chelyabinsk Regional Clinical Hospital No 3; 287, avenue Pobeda, Chelyabinsk, 454021, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To optimize short-term outcomes in management of acute cholecystitis in elderly patients.

**Materials and Methods.** Mini-incision cholecystostomy was performed in 53 patients aged over 75 y.o. Charlson comorbidity index in all patients varied within 6–9, attributing all cases to high and extremely high lethal outcome risk. In all cases destructive cholecystitis was verified, accompanied by jaundice in 40% of patients.

**Results.** Gallbladder draining period varied from 13 to 16 days. 8 (15%) patients were subjected to 2-stage surgical procedure, i.e. cholecystostomy at the first stage to achieve full control of inflammation with a mini-incision cholecystectomy at the second stage. Nine (17.1%) patients were discharged with functional cholecystostoma. Seven (13.2%) patients died after surgery, although immediate post-op mortality in extremely high risk patients with acute cholecystitis did not exceed 1.2%.

**Conclusion.** Our results allow us to recommend the wide use of two-stage procedure in patients with destructive cholecystitis and very high surgical and anaesthesiological risk – as a good alternative for decreasing post-op mortality.

**Key words:** acute cholecystitis, elderly age, comorbidity, high surgical risk, cholecystostomy.

**Sovtsov Sergey Aleksandrovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chair of Surgery, South-Ural State Medical University. **Prilepina Elena Vladimirovna** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of Surgery Department, Chelyabinsk Municipal Polyclinic No 5. **Ionin Mikhail Aleksandrovich** – Physician-Surgeon, Chelyabinsk Regional Clinical Hospital No 3.

**For correspondence:** Sovtsov Sergey Aleksandrovich – Apt. 9, 200, Rossiyskaya str., Chelyabinsk, 454091, Russian Federation. Phone: +7-351-231-23-67. E-mail: sovtsovs@mail.ru

### **References**

1. Galashev V.I., Zotikov S.D., Glyantsev S.P. Cholecystectomy from mini-approach in elderly and old patients. *Khirurgia*. 2001; 3: 15–18. (in Russian)
2. Karimov Sh.I., Borovskii S.P., Khakimov M.Sh., Chilgashov A.Sh. Percutaneous transhepatic cholecystostomy in the treatment of the high surgical risk acute cholecystitis patients. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 15 (1): 53–56. (in Russian)
3. Andren-Sandberg A., Haugvedt T., Larssen T.B., Sondena K. Complications and late outcome following percutaneous drainage of the gallbladder in acute calculous cholecystitis. *Dig. Surg.* 2001; 18 (5): 393–398.
4. Akyurek N., Salman B., Yuksel O., Tezcaner T., Irkorucu O., Yucel C., Oktar S., Tatlicioglu E. Management of acute calculous cholecystitis in high-risk patients: percutaneous cholecystostomy followed by early laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *Surgical Laparoscopy, Endoscopy & Percutaneous Techniques*. 2005; 15 (6): 315–320.
5. Koebrugge B., van Leuken M., Ernst M.F., van Munster I., Bosscha K. Percutaneous cholecystostomy in critically ill patients with a cholecystitis: a safe option. *Dig. Surg.* 2010; 27 (5): 417–421.
6. Scollay J.M., Mullen R., McPhillips G., Thompson A.M. Mortality associated with the treatment of gallstone disease: a 10-year contemporary national experience. *World J. Surg.* 2011; 35 (3): 643–647.
7. Thornton D., Robertson A., Alexander D.J. Patients awaiting laparoscopic cholecystectomy – can preoperative complications be predicted? *Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl.* 2004; 86 (2): 87–90.
8. Trowbridge R.L., Rutkowski N.K., Shojania K.G. Does this patient have acute cholecystitis? *JAMA*. 2003; 289 (1): 80–86.
9. Komarnitskiy V.M. *Punkcionnye metody pod UZI-kontrolem v lechenii ostrogo holecistita u bol'nyh s vysokim operacionno-anesteziolicheskim riskom* [Punction methods under ultrasonic-control in treatment of acute cholecystitis in patients with the high operational and anesthesiology risk: avtoref. dis. ... cand. med. sci.]. St. Petersburg, 2008. 21 p. (in Russian)
10. Arnaud J.P., Pessaux P. Percutaneous cholecystostomy for high-risk acute cholecystitis patients. *South. Med. J.* 2008; 101 (6): 577–580.
11. Bentrem D.J., Cohen M.E.A., Hynes D.M., Ko C.Y., Bilimoria K.Y. Identification of specific quality improvement opportunities for the elderly undergoing gastrointestinal surgery. *Arch. Surg.* 2009; 144 (11): 1013–1020.
12. Litwin M.S., Greenfield S., Elkin E.P., Lubeck D.P., Broering J.M., Kaplan S.H. Assessment of prognosis with the total illness burden index for prostate cancer: aiding clinicians in treatment choice. *Cancer*. 2007; 109 (9): 1777–1783.

13. Massarweh N.N., Legner V.J., Symons R.G., McCormick W.C., Flum D.R. Impact of advancing age on abdominal surgical outcomes. *Arch. Surg.* 2009; 144 (12): 1108–1114.
14. Moonesinghe S.R., Mythen M.D., Grocott M.P. Review article: high-risk surgery: epidemiology and outcomes. *Anesth. Analg.* 2011; 112 (4): 891–901.
15. Bobrov O.E., Khmelnitskiy S.I., Mendel' N.A. *Ocherki khirurgii ostrogo kholecistita* [Sketches of surgery of acute cholecystitis]. Kirovograd: POLIUM, 2008. 216 p. (in Russian)
16. Linn B.S., Linn M.W., Gurel L. Cumulative illness rating scale. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc.* 1968; 16 (5): 622–626.
17. Miller M.D., Paradis C.F., Houck P.R., Mazumdar S., Stack J.A., Rifai A.H. Rating chronic medical illness burden in geropsychiatric practice and research: application of the Cumulative Illness Rating Scale. *Psychiatry Res.* 1992; 41 (3): 237–248.
18. Charlson M.E., Pompei P., Ales K.L., MacKenzie C.R. A new method of classifying prognostic comorbidity in longitudinal studies: Development and validation. *J. Chronic Dis.* 1987; 40 (5): 373–383.
19. Rozzini R., Frisoni G.B., Ferrucci L., Barbisoni P., Sabatini T., Ranieri P., Guralnik J.M., Trabucchi M. Geriatric Index of Comorbidity: validation and comparison with other measures of comorbidity. *Age Ageing.* 2002; 31 (4): 277–285.
20. Grolla D.L., Tob T., Bombardier C., Wright J.G. The development of a comorbidity index with physical function as the outcome. *J. Clin. Epidemiol.* 2005; 58 (6): 595–602.
21. Ghitnyuk R.I. In protection of cholecystostomy. *Vestnik Khirurgii.* 1975; 14 (3): 36–40. (in Russian)
22. Shulutko A.M., Agadjanov V.G. *Sovremennye principi khirurgicheskogo lecheniya zhelnokamennoy bolesni. 80 lektsiy po khirurgii* [Modern principles of surgical treatment of gallstone disease. 80 lectures on surgery]. Moscow: Litterra, 2008. P. 382–387. (in Russian)
23. Csikesz N., Ricciardi R., Tseng J.F., Shah S.A. Current status of surgical management of acute cholecystitis in the United States. *World J. Surg.* 2008; 32 (10): 2230–2236.
24. Hadas-Halpern I., Patlas M., Knizhnik M., Zaghal I., Fisher D. Percutaneous cholecystostomy in the management of acute cholecystitis. *Isr. Med. Assoc. J.* 2003; 5 (3): 170–171.
25. Makela J.T., Kiviniemi H., Laitinen S. Acute cholecystitis in the elderly. *Hepatogastroenterology.* 2005; 52 (64): 999–1004.
26. McKay A., Abulfaraj V., Lipschitz J. Short- and long-term outcomes following percutaneous cholecystostomy for acute cholecystitis in high-risk patients. *Surg. Endosc.* 2012; 26 (5): 1343–1351.
27. Vetshev P.S., Shulutko A.M., Prudkov M.I. Surgical treatment of cholelithiasis: stable principles, sparing technologies. *Khirurgia.* 2005; 8: 91–93. (in Russian)
28. Gulyaev A.A., Shapoval'yants S.G., Burova V.A. Obliteration of the gall-bladder lumen in patients with high operational risk. *Khirurgia.* 1998; 9: 42–44. (in Russian)
29. Emel'yanov S.I. Endoscopy removal of a gall-bladder's mucous membrane after cholecystostomy. *Vestnik Khirurgii.* 2001; 160 (2): 94–98. (in Russian)
30. Limina M.I. *Ostry kholecistit u bol'nyh starcheskogo vozrasta* [Acute cholecystitis in the elderly patients: dis. ... cand. med. sci.]. Yaroslavl', 2008. 32 p. (in Russian)

**BILE DUCTS*****Surgical Management of "Fresh" Extrahepatic Bile Duct Injuries***

Vafin A.Z., Aidemyrov A.N., Delibaltov K.I., Mnatsakanyan E.G., Pogosyan G.A.

Chair of Hospital Surgery, Stavropol' State Medical Academy, Ministry of Health of Russian Federation;  
310, Mira str., Stavropol, 355000, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To improve surgical outcomes in management of iatrogenic extrahepatic bile duct injuries (IBDI).

**Materials and Methods.** The authors share their experience in management of 107 cases of inadvertent iatrogenic extrahepatic bile duct injuries during the period 1989–2011 yy. In 96 (89.7%) patients this iatrogenic injure occurred during cholecystectomy procedure. For early diagnosis of iatrogenic trauma the following diagnostic modalities were helpful: US, ERCPG, PTHC, CT, MR-cholangiography and re-laparoscopy.

**Results.** Proximal IBDI were diagnosed in more than 57% of cases. Delayed (in respect to timing of injury) surgical treatment was performed in 65.4% of all patients. Location of injury, time of diagnosis and associated complications predefined surgical tactics of choice in each case. Two-step strategy was used in patients who developed peritonitis. In 65.5% of all cases biliary-digestive anastomosis with replaceable percutaneous transhepatic drainages was the preferred procedure.

**Conclusion.** Longterm carcass bile ducts drainage prevents formation of recurrent anastomosis strictures. Surgery without drainages is possible only when fresh injuries of CBD or hepatic duct are identified.

**Key words:** extrahepatic bile ducts, iatrogenic injury, bile duct stricture, cholecystectomy, cholelithiasis, results.

**Vafin Albert Zakirovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor of Chair of Hospital Surgery, Stavropol' State Medical Academy, Honored Doctor of Russian Federation. **Aidemyrov Artur Nasirovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of the same Chair, Head of Department of Thoracic Surgery, Stavropol' Regional Clinical Hospital. **Delibaltov Konstantin Ivanovich** – Postgraduate of the same Chair. **Mnatsakanyan Eduard Grigor'evich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Docent of the same Chair, Surgeon Physician of the same Department. **Pogosyan Georgij Armenakovich** – Postgraduate of the same Chair.

**For correspondence:** Vafin Albert Zakirovich – 1, Semashko str., Chair of Hospital Surgery, Stavropol', 355030, Russian Federation. Phone: +7-8652-717-003. E-mail: azvafin@mail.ru; Pogosyan Georgij Armenakovich – Phone: 8-928-811-06-12. E-mail: Galiccia@yandex.ru

## ● References

- Emeljanov S.I., Panchenkova D.N., Mamalygina L.A., Tokin A.N., Chistjakov A.A., Handulaev S.M. Surgical treatment of intraoperative injury of extrahepatic bile ducts. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2005; 10 (3): 55–61 (in Russian).
- Panchenkova D.N., Mamalygina L.A. Iatrogenic injury of extrahepatic bile ducts: diagnosis and surgical tactics at the present stage. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2004; 9 (1): 156–163 (in Russian).
- Galperin E.I., Vetshev P.S. *Rukovodstvo po khirurgii zhelchnyh putei* [Manual of surgery of the biliary tract]. Moscow: Vidar-M, 2006. 568 p. (in Russian)
- Shapovaljanc S.G., Orlov S.J., Mylnikov A.G., Pankov A.G., Budzinskij S.A., Matrosov A.L. Endoscopic opportunities in treatment of "recent" bile duct damage. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2005; 10 (3): 51–54 (in Russian).
- Chernyshev V.N., Romanov V.E. *Povrezhdeniya i rubtsovie suzheniya zhelchnyh protokov* [Injuries and scar strictures of bile ducts]. Samara, 2001. 208 p. (in Russian).
- Fedorov I.V., Slavin L.E., Chugunov A.N. *Povrezhdeniya zhelchnyh protokov pri laparoskopicheskoy holecistektomii* [Bile duct injury during laparoscopic cholecystectomy]. Moscow, 2003. 79 p. (in Russian).
- Maljarchuk V.I., Pautkin J.F. *Sovremennij shovnyy material i precisionnaya tehnika shva v hirurgii dobrotkachestvennyh zabolеваниj vnepechenochnyh protokov* [Modern sutures and suture technique precision in surgery of benign diseases of extrahepatic ducts]. Moscow: Publ. RUDN, 2000. 201 p. (in Russian)
- Arkossy P., Toth P., Kovacs I., Sapy P. New reconstructive surgery of remnant pancreas in cases of cancer of Vater's papilla. *Hepato-Gastroenterology*. 2002; 49 (43): 255–257.
- Piecuch J., Witkowski K. Biliary tract complications following 52 consecutive orthotopic liver transplants. *Ann. Transplant.* 2001; 6 (1): 28–36.
- Galperin E.I., Chevokin A.Ju., Kuzovlev N.F., Dyuzheva T.G., Garmaev B.G. Diagnostics and treatment for different types of high scar strictures of the hepatic ducts. *Khirurgia*. 2004; 5: 26–31. (in Russian)

## Pancreatodigestive Anastomosis in Radical Operations of Periampullar Tumors

Nazyrov F.G., Devyatov A.V., Akbarov M.M.

Academician V. Vakhidov Republican Specialized Center of Surgery; 10, Farkhad Str., Tashkent, 100115, Uzbekistan

**Aim.** To evaluate the impact of various types of pancreatodigestive anastomoses on early postop course after pancreateoduodenal resection.

**Materials and Methods.** Radical surgeries for periampullar tumors were performed in 106 patients during the period 1983–2013, among them 100 procedures were pancreateoduodenal resections (PDRs), and 6 – pancreateodudenectomies (PDEs). One-stage surgery was possible in 38 patients, biliary decompression procedures were necessary in 68 cases before going for radical surgery. Blind closure of pancreatic stump was carried in 15 cases, pancreatoenteroanastomosis was formed in 23 cases, pancreaticoenteroanastomosis – in 32, pancreatogastric anastomosis – in 30 patients.

**Results.** Adherence to two-step surgery in patients with severe cholestasis and to differentiated approach in formation of pancreatodigestive anastomosis while securing pancreatic anastomosis with external drainage to maintain external diversion of bile in early postoperative period allowed to reduce postoperative complication and mortality rates to 10.8%.

**Conclusion.** The most common complications of PDR are associated with pancreatic stump closure and formation of pancreatodigestive anastomosis. Pancreatogastric anastomosis seems to have a number of sound advantages. External diversion of pancreatic juice through MPD drainage system and simultaneous maintenance of percutaneous transhepatic cholangiostomy for partial external biliary diversion (PEBD) in early postop period significantly reduces the risk of specific PDR- and PDE-associated postop complications.

**Key words:** periampullary tumor, pancreas, pancreateoduodenal resection, pancreatodigestive anastomosis, pankreatogastranastomosis, pancreatic necrosis, anastomotic failure, two-stage operation.

**Nazyrov Feruz Gafurovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Director of the Republican Specialized Center of Surgery. **Devyatov Andrey Vasil'evich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chief Scientist, Department of Surgery of Portal Hypertension and Pancreateoduodenal Zone, the same Center. **Akbarov Mirshavkat Miralimovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Chief Scientist, Department of Surgery of the Liver and Bile Ducts, the same Center.

**For correspondence:** Devyatov Andrey Vasil'evich – 10, Farkhad str., Republican Specialized Center of Surgery, Tashkent, 100115, Uzbekistan. Phone: 9989-462-53-90. E-mail: devyatov 1959@mail.ru

### References

1. Egorov V.I. *Lechenie raka podzheludochnoy zhelesy* [Management of pancreatic cancer. In: Galperin E.I., Dyuzheva T.G., eds. Guidelines for biliary tract surgery]. Moscow: Vidar-M, 2011. P. 449–478. (in Russian)
2. Kubishkin V.A., Vishnevsky A.V. *Rak podzheludochnoy zhelesy* [Pancreatic cancer]. Moscow: Medpraktika-M., 2003. 386 p. (in Russian)
3. Patyutko Yu.I., Kotel'nikov A.G. Diagnostic and surgical management of pancreatic cancer: the contemporary results. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 1998; 3 (1): 96–111. (in Russian)
4. Patyutko Yu.I., Kotel'nikov A.G. *Khirurgiya raka organov biliopankreatoduodenalnoy zony* [Surgery of the biliopancreatoduodenal zone organs cancer]. Moscow: Meditsina, 2007. P. 149–176. (in Russian)
5. Nazyrov F.G., Akbarov M.M., Khudaibergenov Sh.N. Methods of handling of the stump of pancreas in cases of radical surgery in patients with tumors of pancreateoduodenal zone. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2003; 8 (2): 327–328. (in Russian)
6. Kochiashvili V.I. *Atlas khirurgicheskikh vmeshatelstv na zhelchnyykh putyah* [Atlas of surgical intervention on bile ducts]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1971. P. 209. (in Russian)
7. Nakao A., Fujii T., Sugimoto H., Kaneko T., Takeda S., Inoue S., Nomoto S., Kanazumi N. Is pancreaticogastrostomy safer than pancreaticojejunostomy? *J. Hepatobil. Pancreat. Surg.* 2006; 13 (3): 202–206.
8. Bassi C., Falconi M., Molinari E., Salvia R., Butturini G., Sartori N., Mantovani W., Pederzoli P. Reconstruction by pancreaticojejunostomy versus pancreaticogastrostomy following pancreatectomy: results of a comparative study. *Ann. Surg.* 2005; 242 (6): 767–771.
9. Lai E.C., Lau S.Y., Lau W.Y. Measures to prevent pancreatic fistula after pancreateoduodenectomy: a comprehensive review. *Ann. Surg.* 2007; 245 (5): 692–698.
10. Qiu Y.D., Bai J.M., Xu F.G., Ding Y.T. Effect of preoperative biliary drainage on malignant obstructive jaundice: meta-analysis. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2011; 17 (3): 391–396.

11. Li Z., Zhang Z., Hu W., Zeng Y., Liu X., Mai G., Zhang Y., Lu H., Tian B. Pancreaticoduodenectomy with preoperative obstructive jaundice: drainage or not. *Pancreas*. 2009; 38 (4): 379–386.
12. Eshuis W.J., van der Gaag N.A., Rauws E.A., van Eijck C.H., Bruno M.J., Kuipers E.J., Coene P.P., Kubben F.J., Gerritsen J.J., Greve J.W., Gerhards M.F., de Hingh I.H., Klinkenbijl J.H., Nio C.Y., de Castro S.M., Busch O.R., van Gulik T.M., Bossuyt P.M., Gouma D.J. Therapeutic delay and survival after surgery for cancer of the pancreatic head with or without preoperative biliary drainage. *Ann. Surg.* 2010; 252 (5): 840–849.
13. Galperin E.I., Kotovskiy A.E., Momunova O.N. The optimal preoperative bilirubinemia level in malignant obstructive jaundice patients. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy gepatologii*. 2011; 16 (1): 45–51. (in Russian)
14. Shakhbazyan O.G., Kasumyan S.A. Biliary decompression in the treatment of malignant obstructive jaundice patients. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy gepatologii*. 2013; 18 (1): 78–83. (in Russian)
15. Buriev I.M., Ikramov R.Z. Proximal pancreatic resection. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy gepatologii*. 1998; 3 (1): 90–95. (in Russian)
16. Etala E. *Atlas abdominalnoy khirurgii* [Atlas of abdominal surgery]. Moscow: Meditsina, 2008. V. 1. P. 383–384. (in Russian)

## Acute Pancreatitis: Morphological Issues in Development of the Disease

Firsova V.G.<sup>2</sup>, Parshikov V.V.<sup>1</sup>, Kuznetsov S.S.<sup>1</sup>, Bugrova M.L.<sup>1</sup>, Yakovleva E.I.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nizhny Novgorod State Medical Academy; 10/1, Minin and Pozharsky square, Nizhny Novgorod, 603005, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup> Nizhny Novgorod Municipal Hospital No 35; 47, Respublicanskaya str., Nizhny Novgorod, 603089, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To study ultrastructural pancreatic parenchyma changes in different types of acute pancreatitis.

**Materials and Methods.** Morphological including histological and ultrastructural examination of pancreas was performed in 11 patients with severe acute pancreatitis. Intraop biopsy samples at different disease stages, as well as autopsy material were used in this study.

**Results.** Different mechanisms of pancreatic cells death are involved at the initial stage of the disease, i.e. necrosis and apoptosis. Predominant necrosis is usually associated with extremely severe course of the disease and rapid multiorgan failure progression. Apoptosis can alternate into necrosis in the natural course of acute pancreatitis, manifesting clinically in aggravation of systemic inflammation and severity of symptoms. Destructive process in the pancreas is sustained for a long period of time. After 2.5 months from the onset of the disease the majority of acinar cells still demonstrate substantial signs of damage, which should be taken into account in treatment plans.

**Conclusion.** Presented findings are indicative of morphological heterogeneity in acute pancreatitis, encompassing both – volume of tissue destruction and different types of cell death involved. Further studies are required to elucidate particular roles of necrosis and apoptosis in destructive pancreatitis, their input into severity of the disease, development of complications and clinical outcomes.

**Key words:** acute pancreatitis, destructive pancreatitis, pancreatecrosis, necrosis, apoptosis.

**Firsova Victoria Glebovna** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Surgeon, Anesthesiologist and Reanimatologist, Surgical Department, Nizhny Novgorod Municipal Hospital No 35. **Parshikov Vladimir Vyacheslavovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chair of Hospital Surgery, Nizhny Novgorod State Medical Academy. **Kuznetsov Sergey Stanislavovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chair of Pathological Anatomy, the same Academy. **Bugrova Marina Leonidovna** – Cand. of Biol. Sci., Docent, Head of Electronic Microscopy Department, Central Scientific Research Laboratory, Institute of Applied & Fundamental Medicine, the same Academy. **Yakovleva Eugeniya Ivanovna** – Cand. of Biol. Sci., Senior Researcher, the same Department.

**For correspondence:** Firsova Victoria Glebovna – Apt. 3, 12/2, G. Lopatina str., Nizhny Novgorod, 603163, Russian Federation. Phone: +7-904-922-51-95. E-mail: victoria.firsova@mail.ru

### References

1. Banks P.A., Freeman M.L. Practice guidelines in acute pancreatitis. *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* 2006; 101 (10): 2379–2400.
2. Savel'ev V.S., Filimonov M.I., Burnevich S.Z. *Pankreonekrosy* [Pancreonecroses]. Moscow: Medical Information Agency, 2008. 264 p. (in Russian)
3. Muddana V., Whitcomb D.C., Papachristou G.I. Current management and novel insights in acute pancreatitis. *Expert. Rev. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 2009; 3 (4): 435–444.
4. Petrov M.S., Windsor J.A. Conceptual framework for classifying the severity of acute pancreatitis. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* 2012; 36 (4): 341–344.
5. Procenko S.I., Asatrjan A.A., Viskunov V.G. Pathomorphogenesis of pancreatoduodenal zone organs at experimental pancreatecrosis induced by injection of trypsin. *Vestnik eksperimental'nnoi i klinicheskoy khirurgii.* 2011; 2 (4): 334–339. (in Russian)
6. Viskunov V.G., Asatrjan A.A., Procenko S.I. Pathomorphological analysis of pancreas in different forms of pancreatecrosis. *Vestnik eksperimental'nnoi i klinicheskoy khirurgii.* 2011; 1 (4): 135–139. (in Russian)
7. Mareninova O.A., Hermann K., French S.W., O'Konski M.S., Pandol S.J., Webster P., Erickson A.H., Katunuma N., Gorelick F.S., Gukovsky I., Gukovskaya A.S. Impaired autophagic flux mediates acinar cell vacuole formation and trypsinogen activation in rodent models of acute pancreatitis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 2009; 119 (11): 3340–3355.
8. Nakamura Y., Do J.H., Yuan J., Odinokova I.V., Mareninova O., Gukovskaya A.S., Pandol S.J. Inflammatory cells regulate p53 and caspases in acute pancreatitis. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2010; 298 (1): 92–100.
9. Kahl S., Mayer J.M. Update on experimental acute pancreatitis. *Minerva Gastroenterol. Dietol.* 2012; 58 (4): 355–363.
10. Sarkisov D.S., Perov Yu.L. *Mokroskopicheskaya tekhnika. Rukovodstvo* [Microscopic technique. Guidelines]. Moscow: Meditsina, 1996. 544 p. (in Russian)

11. Vashetko R.V., Tolstoj A.D., Kurygin A.A., Stojko Ju.M., Krasnorogov V.B. *Ostry pankreatit i travmy podzheludochnoi zhelez* [Acute pancreatitis and traumas of pancreas]. SPb: Piter, 2000. 320 p. (in Russian)
12. Balthazar E.J. Acute pancreatitis: assessment of severity with clinical and CT evaluation. *Radiology*. 2002; 223 (3): 603–613.
13. Kim Y.K., Kim C.S., Han Y.M. Role of fat-suppressed t1-weighted magnetic resonance imaging in predicting severity and prognosis of acute pancreatitis: an intraindividual comparison with multidetector computed tomography. *J. Comput. Assist. Tomogr.* 2009; 33 (5): 651–656.
14. Pugaev A.V., Achkasov E.E. *Ostry pankreatit* [Acute pancreatitis]. Moscow: Profil', 2007. 336 p. (in Russian)
15. Bentrem D.J., Joehl R.J. Pancreas: healing response in critical illness. *Crit. Care Med.* 2003; 31 (8 Suppl): 582–589.
16. Sharif S., Broman M., Babcock T., Ong E., Jho D., Rudnicki M., Helton W.S., Espat N.J. A priori dietary omega-3 lipid supplementation results in local pancreatic macrophage and pulmonary inflammatory response attenuation in a model of experimental acute edematous pancreatitis (AEP). *JPEN J. Parenter. Enteral. Nutr.* 2006; 30 (4): 271–276.
17. Awla D., Abdulla A., Zhang S., Roller J., Menger M.D., Regner S., Thorlacius H. Lymphocyte function antigen-1 regulates neutrophil recruitment and tissue damage in acute pancreatitis. *Br. J. Pharmacol.* 2011; 163 (2): 413–423.
18. Lubjanskij V.G., Bykov V.M., Jacyn A.M., Ustinov D.N., Zharikov A.N., Aliev A.R., Arutjunjan G.A. The tissue barrier formation in the retroperitoneal tissue at necrotizing pancreatitis. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2012; 17 (4): 99–105. (in Russian)
19. Vonlaufen A., Apte M.V., Imhof B.A., Frossard J.L. The role of inflammatory and parenchymal cells in acute pancreatitis. *J. Pathol.* 2007; 213 (3): 239–248.
20. Ryschich E., Kerkadze V., Deduchovas O., Salnikova O., Parseliunas A., Marten A., Hartwig W., Sperandio M., Schmidt J. Intracapillary leucocyte accumulation as a novel antihaemorrhagic mechanism in acute pancreatitis in mice. *Gut*. 2009; 58 (11): 1508–1516.
21. Gukovskaya A.S., Pandol S.J. Cell death pathways in pancreatitis and pancreatic cancer. *Pancreatology*. 2004; 4 (6): 567–586.
22. Sung K.F., Odinokova I.V., Mareninova O.A., Rakonczay Z. Jr., Hegyi P., Pandol S.J., Gukovsky I., Gukovskaya A.S. Prosurvival Bcl-2 proteins stabilize pancreatic mitochondria and protect against necrosis in experimental pancreatitis. *Exp. Cell Res.* 2009; 315 (11): 1975–1989.
23. Wang J., Chen G., Gong H., Huang W., Long D., Tang W. Amelioration of experimental acute pancreatitis with dachengqi decoction via regulation of necrosis-apoptosis switch in the pancreatic acinar cell. *PLoS One*. 2012; 7 (7): e40160.
24. Vanlangenakker N., Vanden Berghe T., Krysko D.V., Festjens N., Vandenebeele P. Molecular mechanisms and pathophysiology of necrotic cell death. *Curr. Mol. Med.* 2008; 8 (3): 207–220.
25. Danial N.N., Korsmeyer S.J. Cell death: critical control points. *Cell*. 2004; 116 (2): 205–219.
26. Kaiser A.M., Saluja A.K., Sengupta A., Saluja M., Steer M.L. Relationship between severity, necrosis, and apoptosis in five models of experimental acute pancreatitis. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1995; 269 (5 Pt 1): 1295–1304.
27. Gukovskaya A.S., Perkins P., Zaninovic V., Sandoval D., Rutherford R., Fitzsimmons T., Pandol S.J., Poucell-Hatton S. Mechanisms of cell death after pancreatic duct obstruction in the opossum and the rat. *Gastroenterology*. 1996; 110 (3): 875–884.
28. Mareninova O.A., Sung K.F., Hong P., Lugea A., Pandol S.J., Gukovsky I., Gukovskaya A.S. Cell death in pancreatitis: caspases protect from necrotizing pancreatitis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006; 281 (6): 3370–3381.
29. Weng T.I., Wu H.Y., Chen B.L., Liu S.H. Honokiol attenuates the severity of acute pancreatitis and associated lung injury via acceleration of acinar cell apoptosis. *Shock*. 2012; 37 (5): 478–484.
30. Yuan J., Liu Y., Tan T., Guha S., Gukovsky I., Gukovskaya A., Pandol S.J. Protein kinase d regulates cell death pathways in experimental pancreatitis. *Front Physiol.* 2012; 3: 60.
31. Gukovskaya A.S., Gukovsky I., Jung Y., Mouria M., Pandol S.J. Cholecystokinin induces caspase activation and mitochondrial dysfunction in pancreatic acinar cells. Roles in cell injury processes of pancreatitis. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2002; 277 (25): 2595–2604.
32. Fortunato F., Deng X., Gates L.K., McClain C.J., Bimmler D., Graf R., Whitcomb D.C. Pancreatic response to endotoxin after chronic alcohol exposure: switch from apoptosis to necrosis? *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2006; 290 (2): 232–241.
33. He S., Wang L., Miao L., Wang T., Du F., Zhao L., Wang X. Receptor interacting protein kinase-3 determines cellular necrotic response to TNF-alpha. *Cell*. 2009; 137 (6): 1100–1111.
34. Criddle D.N., Gerasimenko J.V., Baumgartner H.K., Jaffar M., Voronina S., Sutton R., Petersen O.H., Gerasimenko O.V. Calcium signalling and pancreatic cell death: apoptosis or necrosis? *Cell Death Differ.* 2007; 14 (7): 1285–1294.
35. Izumov D.S., Avetisyan A.V., Pletjushkina O.Y., Sakharov D.V., Wirtz K.W., Chernyak B.V., Skulachev V.P. “Wages of fear”: transient threefold decrease in intracellular ATP level imposes apoptosis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 2004; 1658 (1–2): 141–147.
36. Thrower E.C., Gorelick F.S., Husainb S.Z. Molecular and cellular mechanisms of pancreatic injury. *Curr. Opin. Gastroenterol.* 2010; 26 (5): 484–489.
37. Voronina S.G., Barrow S.L., Simpson A.W., Gerasimenko O.V., da Silva Xavier G., Rutter G.A., Petersen O.H., Tepikin A.V. Dynamic changes in cytosolic and mitochondrial ATP levels in pancreatic acinar cells. *Gastroenterology*. 2010; 138 (5): 1976–1987.

## **Radical Pancreatic Resections for Periampullary Carcinoma in Elderly Patients**

**Lyadov V.K., Egiev V.N., Kovalenko Z.A., Kozyrin I.A., Lyadov K.V.**

*Medical and Rehabilitation Center of Ministry of Healthcare of Russian Federation; 3, Ivankovskoye schosse, Moscow, 125367, Russian Federation*

**Aim.** To assess surgical outcomes after radical surgical procedures for periampullary tumors in elderly patients (aged 75 and more).

**Materials and Methods.** 56 radical surgeries for periampullary carcinomas, including 13 procedures in elderly patients aged ≥75 y.o. were performed during 2010–2012 yy. Short- and long-term surgical outcomes were compared between the groups of elderly and younger patients. Clinical and demographic characteristics were comparable in both groups except for age.

**Results.** There were no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of surgery duration, intraop blood loss, mortality and complications rates, as well as postop hospital stay. The mortality was 2 out of 13 in the elderly group and 4 out of 43 patients – in the control group. Lower median survival and one-year survival rates were observed among the elderly, although 3 patients continue to demonstrate relapse-free survival.

**Conclusion.** Surgical outcomes after radical periampullary carcinoma resections in the elderly are comparable with the results in younger patients, thus justifying wider indications for such procedures in tertiary clinical centers.

**Key words:** *pancreatic cancer, periampullary carcinomas, old age, pancreateoduodenal resection.*

**Lyadov Vladimir Konstantinovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Department of Surgical Oncology, Chief. **Egiev Valerii Nikolaevich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chief Surgeon. **Kovalenko Zahar Andreevich** – Surgeon. **Kozyrin Ivan Alexandrovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Surgeon. **Lyadov Konstantin Viktorovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Corresponding Member of RAMSci, Director.

**For correspondence:** Lyadov Vladimir Konstantinovich – 25-2-8, Novopeschanaya str., Moscow, 125252.  
Phone: +7-495-942-52-00. E-mail: vlyadov@gmail.com

### ● References

- Chissov V.I., Starinskii V.V., Petrova G.V. *Zlokachestvennie novoobrasovaniya v Rossii v 2010 godu (zabolevaemost' i smertnost')*. [Malignant neoplasms in Russia in 2010 (incidence and mortality).] Moscow, 2012. 260 p. (in Russian)
- Socialno-demograficheskiy portret Rossii: po itogam Vserossiyskoi perepisi naseleniya 2010 goda* [Social and demographic portrait of Russia: concerning the results of national survey 2010]. Moscow: Statistika Rossii, 2012. 183 p. (in Russian)
- Riall T.S. What is the effect of age on pancreatic resection? *Adv. Surg.* 2009; 43: 233–249.
- Makary M.A., Winter J.M., Cameron J.L., Campbell K.A., Chang D., Cunningham S.C., Riall T.S., Yeo C.J. Pancreaticoduodenectomy in the very elderly. *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* 2006; 10 (3): 347–356.
- Oliveira-Cunha M., Malde D.J., Aldouri A., Morris-Stiff G., Menon K.V., Smith A.M. Results of pancreatic surgery in the elderly: is age a barrier? *HPB (Oxford)*. 2013; 15 (1): 24–30.
- Oguro S., Shimada K., Kishi Y., Nara S., Esaki M., Kosuge T. Perioperative and long-term outcomes after pancreaticoduodenectomy in elderly patients 80 years of age and older. *Langenbecks Arch. Surg.* 2013; 398 (4): 531–538.
- Dindo D., Demartines N., Clavien P.A. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann. Surg.* 2004; 240 (2): 205–213.
- Lyadov K.V., Egiev V.N., Lyadov V.K., Bulanova E.A. ‘No-touch’ tumour mobilization in pancreateoduodenectomy: technical aspects. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2011; 16 (4): 77–81. (in Russian)
- Strasberg S.M., Linehan D.C., Hawkins W.G. Radical antegrade modular pancreatosplenectomy procedure for adenocarcinoma of the body and tail of the pancreas: ability to obtain negative tangential margins. *J. Am. Coll. Surg.* 2007; 204 (2): 244–249.
- Fong Y., Blumgart L.H., Fortner J.G., Brennan M.F. Pancreatic or liver resection for malignancy is safe and effective for the elderly. *Ann. Surg.* 1995; 222 (4): 426–434.
- Sohn T.A., Yeo C.J., Cameron J.L., Lillemoe K.D., Talamini M.A., Hruban R.H., Sauter P.K., Coleman J., Ord S.E., Grochow L.B., Abrams R.A., Pitt H.A. Should pancreaticoduodenectomy be performed in octogenarians? *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* 1998; 2 (3): 207–216.
- Khan S., Sclabas G., Lombardo K.R., Sarr M.G., Nagorney D., Kendrick M.L., Donohue J.H., Que F.G., Farnell M.B. Pancreaticoduodenectomy for ductal adenocarcinoma in the very elderly; is it safe and justified? *J. Gastrointest. Surg.* 2010; 14 (11): 1826–1831.

- 
13. Belyaev O., Herzog T., Kaya G., Chromik A.M., Meurer K., Uhl W., Muller C.A. Pancreatic surgery in the very old: face to face with a challenge of the near future. *World J. Surg.* 2013; 37 (5): 1013–1020.
  14. Sukharamwala P., Thoens J., Szuchmacher M., Smith J., DeVito P. Advanced age is a risk factor for post-operative complications and mortality after a pancreaticoduodenectomy: a meta-analysis and systematic review. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2012; 14 (10): 649–657.
  15. Haigh P.I., Bilimoria K.Y., DiFronzo L.A. Early postoperative outcomes after pancreaticoduodenectomy in the elderly. *Arch. Surg.* 2011; 146 (6): 715–723.
  16. Chandrabalan V.V., McMillan D.C., Carter R., Kinsella J., McKay C.J., Carter C.R., Dickson E.J. Pre-operative cardiopulmonary exercise testing predicts adverse post-operative events and non-progression to adjuvant therapy after major pancreatic surgery. *HPB (Oxford)*. 2013 Feb 20. doi: 10.1111/hpb.12060. [Epub ahead of print].

***Experimental Model of Pancreonecrosis***

Gorsky V.A.<sup>1</sup>, Agapov M.A.<sup>1</sup>, Leonenko I.V.<sup>1</sup>, Gafarov D.A.<sup>1</sup>, Murashev A.N.<sup>2</sup>, Semushina S.G.<sup>2</sup>, Battaev A.I.<sup>3</sup>, Sadovnikova E.S.<sup>2</sup>, Pakhomova I.A.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University; 1 build. 9, Str. Ostrovityanova, Moscow, 117997, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup> Branch of Shemyakin & Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry of RASci.; 6, Avenue of Science, Pushchino, Moscow region, 142290, Russian Federation

<sup>3</sup> Main Military Clinical Hospital of the Russian FSS; 48, Peter the highway, Golicyno, Odintsovo district, Moscow region, 14304, Russian Federation

**Aim.** To develop a model of pancreonecrosis with increased release of cytokines into peripheral circulation.

**Materials and Methods.** Sixty (60) 12–14 weeks-old outbred SD male-rats of SPF category were used in this experiment.

Levels of pro-inflammatory IL-6, TNF- $\alpha$  and anti-inflammatory IL-10 cytokines were monitored, and the results were compared with respective values in the control group of intact rats. To create the cerulean-induced pancreonecrosis (PN) model a single or triple intraperitoneal administration of 20, 40, 50, 60, and 80  $\mu$ g/kg cerulean solution was used. To induce alcoholic PN all animals were wartered with 10% ethanol solution for a long period of time (from 14 days to 2.5 months). In 9 rats from this group alcohol was additionally potentiated with 40  $\mu$ g/kg of cerulean. The mechanical model of PN encountered partial CBD occlusion enhanced by single intraperitoneal administration of 40  $\mu$ g/kg cerulean.

**Results.** PN has not been achieved in a single animal after intraperitoneal cerulean administration in a range of indicated experimental dosages. This model was not associated with statistically significant increase of circulating cytokines. Alcohol model also failed to achieve PN and was not associated with increase of cytokine levels. Increase of IL-6 was noticed only in the subgroup with combined use of alcohol and cerulean ( $224.24 \pm 5$  pg/ml), while TNF- $\alpha$  did not show any increase ( $16.66 \pm 7$  pg/ml). Pronounced inflammation and necrosis of pancreatic parenchyma were predominant morphological characteristics of mechanical PN model. Additional cerulean resulted in total PN. Moreover, the mechanical PN model was associated with considerable increase in cytokine secretion as compared with cerulean and alcohol PN models.

**Conclusion.** Mechanical PN model with partial CBD occlusion can be recommended for evaluation of cytokine-inhibiting potential in medicinal drugs.

**Key words:** pancreas, pancreonecrosis, experimental model, cytokines, cerulean.

**Gorskiy Viktor Aleksandrovich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chair of Experimental and Clinical Surgery, N.I. Pirogov Russian National Research Medical University. **Agapov Mikhail Andreevich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Docent of the same Chair. **Leonenko Igor' Valentinovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Docent, Chair of General Pathology of the same University. **Gafarov Daler Abdulgafarovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Assistant in the same Chair. **Murashev Arkadiy Nikolaevich** – Doct. of Biol. Sci., Professor, Head of Biological Testing Laboratory, Branch of Shemyakin & Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry. **Semushina Svetlana Gennad'evna** – Junior Research Fellow of the same Laboratory. **Battaev Alan Ilyasovich** – Surgeon in Main Military Clinical Hospital of the Russian FSS. **Sadovnikova Elena Stanislavovna** – Junior Research Fellow of Biological Testing Laboratory, Branch of Shemyakin & Ovchinnikov Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry. **Pakhomova Irina Anatol'evna** – Research Fellow of the same Laboratory.

**For correspondence:** Agapov Mikhail Andreevich – 18a, Zagorodnoe shosse, Moscow, 117152, Russia. Phone: +7-495-952-96-77. E-mail: getinfo911@mail.ru

## ● References

1. Bernard C. Lecons de Physiologie Experimentale. 2 (VIII). Paris: Bailleire, 1856; 504–512.
2. Nevalainen T.J., Seppa A. Acute pancreatitis caused by closed duodenal loop in the rat. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 1975; 10 (5): 521–527.
3. Lombardi B. Influence of dietary factors on the pancreatotoxicity of ethionine. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1976; 84 (3): 633–648.
4. Sheen-Chen S.M., Eng H.L., Hung K.S. Altered serum transforming growth factor-beta1 and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 levels in obstructive jaundice. *World J. Surg.* 2004; 28 (10): 967–970.
5. Lampel M., Kern H.F. Acute interstitial pancreatitis in the rat induced by excessive doses of a pancreatic secretagogue. *Virchows Arch. A. Pathol. Anat. Histopathol.* 1977; 373 (2): 97–117.
6. Armstrong C.P., Taylor T.V., Torrance H.B. Effects of bile, infection and pressure on pancreatic duct integrity. *Br. J. Surg.* 1985; 72 (10): 792–795.

- 
7. Schmidt J., Rattner D.W., Lewandrowski K., Compton C.C., Mandavilli U., Knoefel W.T., Warshaw A.L. A better model of acute pancreatitis for evaluating therapy. *Ann. Surg.* 1992; 215 (1): 44–56.
  8. Nevalainen T.J., Seppa A. Acute pancreatitis caused by closed duodenal loop in the rat. *Scand. J. Gastroenterol.* 1975; 10 (5): 521–527.
  9. Dickson A.P., Foulis A.K., Imrie C.W. Histology and bacteriology of closed duodenal loop models of experimental acute pancreatitis in the rat. *Digestion.* 1986; 34 (1): 15–21.
  10. Lombardi B., Estes L.W., Longnecker D.S. Acute hemorrhagic pancreatitis (massive necrosis) with fat necrosis induced in mice by DL-ethionine fed with a choline-deficient diet. *Am. J. Pathol.* 1975; 79 (3): 465–480.
  11. Nehez L., Andersson R. Compromise of immune function in obstructive jaundice. *Eur. J. Surg.* 2002; 168 (6): 315–328.
  12. Schmidt M., Raghavan B., Muller V., Vogl T., Fejer G., Tchaptchet S., Keck S., Kalis C., Nielsen P.J., Galanos C., Roth J., Skerra A., Martin S.F., Freudenberg M.A., Goebeler M. Crucial role for human Toll-like receptor 4 in the development of contact allergy to nickel. *Nat. Immunol.* 2010; 11 (1): 814–819.
  13. Karmen A. A note on the spectrometric assay of glutamic-oxalacetic transaminase in human blood serum. *Clin. Invest.* 1955; 34 (1): 131–133.
  14. Wroblewski F., La Due J.S. Serum glutamic pyruvic transaminase in cardiac with hepatic disease. *Proc. Soc. exp. Biol. Med.* 1956; 91 (4): 569–571.

## REVIEW

***Noninvasive Ultrasound Ablation of Liver Tumours***

Sviridova T.I., Bruslik S.V., Vetshev P.S.

Pirogov National Medical and Surgical Center Ministry of Health of Russian Federation;  
70, Nizhnyaya Pervomayskaya St., Moscow, 105203, Russian Federation

The review elucidates advanced approaches to local treatment of hepatic tumors. Such modalities as super-selective intra-arterial chemoembolization, cryoablation, laser and radio-frequency ablation, as well as most modern technique based on high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) for distant local tumor destruction are presented in a comparative format. A classification of local ablation techniques based on degree of invasiveness (factor of local tumor destruction) is proposed. The authors present through analysis of published data, describing benefits and disadvantages of all local ablation techniques, indications and contraindications, associated complications and long-term clinical outcomes.

**Key words:** liver, metastases, minimally invasive technologies, chemoembolization, cryodestruction, cryoablation, ultrasound ablation, HIFU.

**Vetshev Petr Sergeevich** — Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, deputy Director of Pirogov National Medical and Surgical Center.  
**Bruslik Sergey Vladimirovich** — Cand. of Med. Sci., Associate Professor, Head of the Department of Ultrasound and Radiological Diagnostics and Treatment of the same Center. **Sviridova Tatyana Ivanovna** — Physician of the same Department.

**For correspondence:** Sviridova Tatyana Ivanovna — 70, Nizhnyaya Pervomayskaya St., Moscow, 105203, Russia.  
 Phone: +7-499-464-30-43; +7-915-436-29-22. E-mail: svitiv@inbox.ru

## ● References

1. Davydov M.I., Aksel' E.M. Statistics of malignant tumors in Russia and CIS in 2008. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo onkologicheskogo nauchnogo centra im. N.N. Blokhina RAMN*. 2009; (3): 11–13. (in Russian)
2. Trapeznikov N.N., Aksel' E.M. Statistics of malignant tumors in Russia and CIS. *Rossiiskiy onkologicheskiy zhurnal*. 2006; 6 (3): 281. (in Russian)
3. Chissov V.I., Starinskii V.V., Petrova G.V. *Zlokachestvennye novoobrazovaniya v Rossii v 2009 g. (zabolevaemost' i smertnost')* [Malignant tumors in Russia in 2009 (morbidity and mortality)]. Moscow, 2010. 260 p. (in Russian)
4. Fedorov V.D., Vishnevskii V.A., Nazarenko N.A. *Pervichnye i vtorichnye opuholi pecheni. Klinicheskaja hirurgija. Nacional'noe rukovodstvo* [Primary and secondary liver tumors. Clinical surgery. National guidelines]. 2009, Vol. 2, 571 p. (in Russian)
5. Rossi S., Garbagnati P., Rosa L., Azzaretti A., Belloni G., Quaretti P. Radiofrequency thermal ablation for treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 2002; 7 (4): 225–235.
6. Komov D.V., Roschin E.M., Gurtovaya I.B. *Lekarstvennoe lechenie pervichnogo i metastaticheskogo raka pecheni* [Drug treatment of primary and metastatic liver cancer]. Moscow, 2002. 160 p. (in Russian)
7. Granov A.M., Tarazov P.G. New technologies of interventional radiology in treatment of malignant liver tumors (lecture). *Georgian J. Radiol.* 1999; 4: 98–101. (in Russian)
8. Patyutko Yu.I. *Hirurgicheskoe lechenie zlokachestvennyh opuholej pecheni* [Surgical treatment of liver malignant tumors]. Moscow: Practical medicine, 2005. 312 p. (in Russian)
9. Brachet D., Lermite E., Rouquette A., Lorimier G., Hamy A., Arnaud J.P. Prognostic factors of survival in repeat liver resection for recurrent colorectal metastases: review of sixty-two cases treated at a single institution. *Dis. Colon. Rectum.* 2009; 52 (3): 475–483.
10. Aksel' E.M., Barmina N.M. Colorectal cancer (morbidity, mortality, socio-economic damage). *Rossiiskiy onkologicheskiy zhurnal*. 1999; 6: 40–46. (in Russian)
11. Vishnevskii V.A., Efanov M.G., Paklina O.V., Filatov V.V., Ikramov R.Z., Nazarenko N.A., Shevchenko T.V., Ionkin D.A., Kozyrin I.A. Anatomic segmental liver resection in colorectal metastases. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 15 (3): 48–57. (in Russian)
12. Abdalla E.K., Vauthey J.N., Ellis L.M., Ellis V., Pollock R., Broglio K.R., Hess K., Curley S.A. Recurrence and out comes following hepatic resection, radiofrequency ablation, and combined resection for colorectal liver metastases. *Ann. Surg.* 2004; 239 (6): 818–825.
13. Lawes D., Taylor I. Chemotherapy for colorectal cancer – an overview of current managements for surgeons. *EJSO*. 2005; 31 (9): 932–941.
14. Galperin E.I., Ignatyuk V.G. The technique of liver resection “ad massam” in malignancy. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 15 (2): 18–23. (in Russian)
15. Patyutko Yu.I., Sagaidak I.V., Kotelnikov A.G., Polyakov A.N., Chuchuev E.S., Pylev A.L., Chistyakova O.V., Shishkina N.A. Liver resection: modern technologies in malignancy. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 15 (2): 9–17. (in Russian)
16. Resolution of XVIIth International congress of surgeons hepatologists of CIS, September 14–16 2011, Moscow. *Annaly khirurgicheskoy hepatologii*. 2010; 16 (4): 23–24. (in Russian)
17. Gleisner A.L., Choti M.A., Assumpcao L., Nathan H., Schulick R.D., Pawlik T.M. Colorectal liver metastases: recurrence and survival following hepatic resection, radiofrequency ablation, and combined resection-radiofrequency ablation. *Arch. Surg.* 2008; 143 (12): 1204–1212.

18. Galperin E.I., Karagyulyan S.R., Mochalov A.M. The experience of anatomic liver resection. *Khirurgiya*. 1986; 1 (7): 56–62. (in Russian)
19. Kolesnik E.A., Khvorostovskii R.R., Lavrik G.V., Gubskaya V.Z. Combined treatment of patients with colorectal cancer and synchronous liver metastases using cyto-reductive operations and various modes of chemotherapy. *Onkologiya*. 2008; 14 (1): 36–37. (in Russian)
20. Pikunov M.Yu., Vishnevskii A.A., Vishnevskii V.A., Ionkin D.A. Colorectal metastases in lungs and liver: opportunities of surgical treatment. *Almanach Instituta khirurgii im. A.V. Vishnevskogo*. 2008; 3 (3): 29–32. (in Russian)
21. De Haas R.J., Wicherts D.A., Flores E., Ducreux M., Levi F., Paule B., Azoulay D., Castaing D., Lemoine A., Adam R. Tumor marker evolution: comparison with imaging for assessment of response to chemotherapy in patients with colorectal liver metastases. *Ann. Surg. Oncol.* 2010; 17 (4): 1010–1023.
22. Dolgyshin B.I., Patyutko Yu.I., Sholohov V.N., Kosyrev V.Yu., Molchanov G.V., Sivanandan R. Radiologic diagnostic methods in assessment of changes in liver tumors after radiofrequency ablation. *Vestnik Rossiiskogo onkologicheskogo nauchnogo centra im. N.N. Blokhina RAMN*. 2008; 19 (2): 34–35. (in Russian)
23. Vishnevskii V.A., Efanson M.G., Kazakov I.V. Evaluation of prognosis and indications for liver resection in patients with colorectal metastases. *Vestnik khirurgicheskoy gastroenterologii*. 2012; 3: 56–64. (in Russian)
24. Kotelnikov A.G., Patyutko Yu.I., Lazarev A.F., Mamontov K.G., Ponomarenko A.A., Doroshenko V.S., Khodorenskii S.A., Arzamastsev D.D. *Rezektziya pecheni s predoperacionnoj regionarnoj himio- i bioterapij po povodu metastazov kolorektal'nogo raka v pecheni. Materialy XII Rossijskogo onkologicheskogo kongressa* [Liver resection with preoperative regional chemo and biotherapy for colorectal metastases. Materials of XII Russian oncological congress]. Moscow, 2008. P. 188. (in Russian)
25. Li C.X., Xu G.L., Jiang Z.Y., Li J.J., Luo G.Y., Shan H.B., Zhang R., Li Y. Analysis of clinical effect of high-intensity focused ultrasound on liver cancer. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2004; 10 (15): 2201–2204.
26. Nazarenko G.I., Chen V.Sh., Djan L., Khitrova A.N. *Ul'trazvukovaja ablacija HIFU – vysokotehnologichnaja organosohranjajushchaja al'ternativa hirurgicheskogo lechenija opuholej* [Ultrasonic ablation HIFU – a high-tech organ preserving alternative to surgical treatment of tumors]. Moscow, 2008. P. 86. (in Russian)
27. Shevchenko Yu.L., Karpov O.E., Vetshev P.S., Bruslik S.V., Serebryanyk P.S. Capabilities HIFU technology in treatment of patients with tumors in multi-disciplinary hospital. *Vestnik Natsionalnogo medico-khirurgicheskogo centra im. N.I. Pirogova*. 2009; 4 (2): 3–8. (in Russian)
28. Kennedy J.E., Ter Haar G.R., Cranston D. High Intensity Focused Ultrasound: surgery of the future. *Brit. J. Radiol.* 2003; 76 (909): 590–599.
29. Buscarini I., Rossi S., Fornari F., Di Stasi M., Buscarini E. Laparoscopic ablation of liver adenoma-by radiofrequency electrocautery. *Gastrointest. Endosc.* 1995; 41 (1): 68–70.
30. Siperstein A.E., Berber E. Cryoablation, percutaneous alcohol injection, and radiofrequency ablation for treatment of neuroendocrine liver metastases. *World J. Surg.* 2001; 25 (6): 693–696.
31. Livraghi T., Benedini V., Lazzaroni S., Meloni F., Torzilli G., Vettori C. Long term results of single session percutaneous ethanol injection in patients with large hepatocellular carcinoma. *Cancer*. 1998; 83 (1): 48–57.
32. Livraghi T., Bolondi L., Lazzaroni S., Marin G., Morabito A., Rapaccini G.L., Salmi A., Torzilli G. Percutaneous ethanol injection in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis. A study on 207 patients. *Cancer*. 1992; 69 (4): 925–929.
33. Lyn D.Y., Lin S.M., Liaw Y.F. Non-surgical treatment of hepato-cellular carcinoma. *J. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* 1997; 12 (6): 319–328.
34. Cooper L.S. Cryogenic Surgery: a new method of destruction or extirpation of benign or malignant tissue. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 1963; 268 (84): 743.
35. Gagne D.J., Roh M.S. Cryosurgery for hepatic malignancies. In: Curley S.A. Liver Cancer. New York: Springer-Verlag Publishers, 1998; 14 (8): 173–200.
36. McCarty T.M., Kuhn J.A. Cryotherapy for liver tumors. *Oncology*. 1998; 12 (7): 979–987.
37. Wemyss-Holden S.A., Robertson G.S.M., Hall P.D., Dennison A.R., Maddern G.J. Electrolytic treatment of colorectal liver tumour deposits in a rat model: a technique with potential for patients with unresectable liver tumours. *Dig. Dis.* 2000; 18 (2): 50–57.
38. Wemyss-Holden S.A., Dennison A.R., Maddern G.J. The mystery of liver regeneration. *Brit. J. Surg.* 2002; 89 (9): 1089–1095.
39. Van Tilborg A.A., Meijerink M.R., Sietses C., Van Waesberghen J.H., Mackintosh M.O., Meijer S., Van Kuijk C., Van Den Tol P. Long-term results of radiofrequency ablation for unresectable colorectal liver metastases: a potentially curative intervention. *Br. J. Radiol.* 84 (1002): 556–565.
40. Dawson L.A., Ten Haken R.K., Lawrence T.S. Partial irradiation of the liver. *Semin. Radiat. Oncol.* 2001; 11 (3): 240–246.
41. Karpov O.E., Vetshev P.S., Zhivotov V.A. Ultrasonic ablation of tumors – state and perspectives. *Vestnik Natsionalnogo medico-khirurgicheskogo centra im. N.I. Pirogova*. 2008; 3 (2): 77–82. (in Russian)
42. Fray W.J., Barnard J.W., Fray F.J., Krumins R.F., Brennan J.F. Ultrasonic lesions in the mammalian central nervous system. *Science*. 1955; 122 (3168): 517–518.
43. Wu F., Wang Z.B., Chen W.Z., Zou J.Z. Non-invasive ablation of high intensity focused ultrasound for the treatment of patients with malignant bone tumors. *J. Bone Joint Surg. (Br.)*. 2005; 87 (2): 42–48.
44. Wu F., Wang Z.B., Chen W.Z., Zou J.Z., Bai J., Zhu H., Li K.Q., Jin C.B., Xie F.L., Su H.B. Advanced hepatocellular carcinoma: treatment with high-intensity focused ultrasound ablation combined with transcatheter arterial embolization. *Radiology*. 2005; 235 (2): 659–667.
45. Chen W.Z., Wang W., Zhu H. Clinical follow-up reports on high intensity focused ultrasound treatment of osteosarcoma. In Proceeding of 4th International Symposium on Therapeutic Ultrasound. *Brit. J. Radiol.* 2003; 76 (909): 534–546.
46. Hans S.T. High-Intensity Focused Ultrasound A new Treatment Method of Liver Cancer In Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Therapeutic Ultrasound. 2007, Seoul, Korea. 2007; 32 (4): 325–342.

47. Kohmann K.U., Michel M.S., Gaa J., Marlinghaus E., Alken P. High intensity focused ultrasound as noninvasive therapy for multilocal renal cell carcinoma: case study and review of the literature. *J. Urol.* 2002; 167 (6): 2397–2403.
48. Ushida T., Sanghvi N.T., Gardner T.A., Koch M.O., Ishii D., Minei S., Satoh T., Hyodo T., Irie A., Baba S. Transrectal high-intensity focused ultrasound for treatment of patients with stage T1N0M0 localized prostate cancer: a preliminary report. *Urology.* 2002; 59 (3): 394–398.
49. Visioli A.G., Rivens I.H., Ter Haar G.R., Horwich A., Huddart R.A., Moskovic E., Padhani A., Glees J. Preliminary results of a phase I dose escalation clinical trial using HIFU in the treatment of localized tumors. *Eur. J. Ultrasound.* 1999; 9 (1): 11–18.
50. Wu F., Wang Z.B., Chen W.Z., Zou J.Z., Bai J., Zhu H., Li K.Q., Xie F.L., Jin C.B., Su H.B., Gao G.W. Extracorporeal focused ultrasound surgery for treatment of human solid carcinomas: early Chinese clinical experience. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 2004; 30 (2): 245–260.
51. Ter Haar G.R., Clarke R.L., Vaughan M.G., Hill C.R. Trackless surgery using focused ultrasound: Technique and case report. *Min. Inv. Ther.* 1991; 1 (2): 13–15.
52. Lynn J.G., Zwemer R.L., Chick A.J., Miller A.G. A new method for the generation and use of focusend ultrasound in experimental biology. *J. Gen. Physiol.* 1942; 26 (2): 1791–1793.
53. Wu F., Chen W.Z., Bai J., Zou J.Z., Wang Z.L., Zhu H. Pathological changes in human malignant carcinoma treated with high-intensity focused ultrasound. *Ultrasound Med. Biol.* 2001; 27 (18): 1099–2006.
54. Hynnen K., Pomeroy O., Smith D.N., Huber P.E., McDannold N.J., Kettenbach J., Baum J., Singer S., Jolesz F.A. MR imaging-guided focused ultrasound surgery of fibroadenomas in the breast: A feasibility study. *Radiology.* 2001; 219 (1): 176–185.
55. Maruyama H., Yoshikawa M., Yokosuka O. Current role of ultrasound for the management of hepatocellular carcinoma. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2008; 14 (11): 1710–1719.
56. Stewart E.A., Gedroyc W.M., Tempany C.M., Quade B.J., Inbar Y., Ehrenstein T., Shushan A., Hindley J.T., Goldin R.D., David M., Sklair M., Rabinovici J. Focused treatment of uterine fibroid tumors: safety and feasibility of a noninvasive thermoablative technique. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2003; 189 (1): 48–54.
57. Zhan L., Jin Ch., Zhu K. High-intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU): effective and safe therapy for hepatocellular carcinoma adjacent to major hepatic veins. *Eur. Radiol.* 2009; 19 (2): 437–445.
58. Clement G.T. Perspectives in clinical uses of high-intensity focused ultrasound. *Ultrasonics.* 2004; 42 (10): 1087–1093.
59. Hill C.R., Ter Haar G.R. High-intensity ultrasound-potential for cancer treatment. *Br. J. Radiol.* 1995; 68 (816): 1296–1303.

## CASE REPORTS

## Multimodal Management of Hepatocellular Carcinoma in Liver Cirrhosis Patients

*Khanovich M.D.<sup>1</sup>, Manikhas G.M.<sup>1,2</sup>, Dinikin M.S.<sup>1,2</sup>, Balakhnin P.V.<sup>1</sup>, Kukanov M.A.<sup>1</sup>*

<sup>1</sup> Municipal Clinical Oncology Hospital; 56, pr. Veteranov, Saint-Petersburg, 198255, Russian Federation

<sup>2</sup> Chair of Oncology, Department of Postgraduate Education, I.P. Pavlov First Saint-Petersburg State Medical University; 6-8, str. L'va Tolstogo, Saint Petersburg, 197022, Russian Federation

A clinical case of hepatocellular carcinoma in cirrhosis with massive bilobar tumor involvement is presented. Intra-arterial chemoembolization and following left lobectomy with simultaneous SI and atypical SVI resections were performed. Chemoembolization yielded partial tumor response, but at the same time triggered intensive tumor neoangiogenesis. Limited capacity of hepatic artery chemoembolization predetermined the necessity of major surgical interventions, allowing to achieve favorable outcome with multimodality approach in management of a complicated clinical case.

**Key words:** hepatocellular carcinoma, chemoembolization, hepaspHERE, liver cirrhosis, tumor neoangiogenesis, BCLC classification.

**Khanovich Mikhail Dmitrievich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Chief Surgeon of Municipal Clinical Oncology Dispensary. **Manikhas Georgiy Moiseyevich** – Doct. of Med. Sci., Professor, Head of Chair of Oncology, Department of Postgraduate Education, I.P. Pavlov First Saint-Petersburg State Medical University, Head of Municipal Clinical Oncology Hospital. **Dinikin Mikhail Sergeyevich** – Oncology Surgeon of the same Hospital, Postgraduate of the same Chair. **Balakhnin Pavel Vasil'evich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of Radiosurgery Department of the same Hospital. **Kukanov Maksim Aleksandrovich** – Surgeon of the same Department.

**For correspondence:** Dinikin Mikhail Sergeyevich – 56, Veteranov Ave., Saint-Petersburg, 198255, Russia.

Phone: +7-812-756-99-00. E-mail: dinikin20@mail.ru

### References

1. IARC. <http://www-dep.iarc.fr/>; 2011 [accessed 01.11.11].
2. Parkin D.M., Bray F., Ferlay J., Pisani P. Global cancer statistics, 2002. *CF Cancer J. Clin.* 2005; 55 (2): 74–108.
3. Llovet J.M., Schwartz M., Mazzaferro V. Resection and liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2005; 25 (2): 181–200.
4. Arii S., Yamaoka Y., Futagawa S., Inoue K., Kobayashi K., Kojiro M., Makuchi M., Nakamura Y., Okita K., Yamada R. Results of surgical and nonsurgical treatment for small-sized hepatocellular carcinomas: a retrospective and nationwide survey in Japan. The Liver Cancer Study Group of Japan. *Hepatology*. 2000; 32 (6): 1224–1229.
5. Takayasu K., Arii S., Ikai I., Omata M., Okita K., Ichida T., Matsuyama Y., Nakanuma Y., Kojiro M., Makuchi M., Yamaoka Y. Prospective cohort study of transarterial chemoembolization of unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma in 8510 patients. *Gastroenterology*. 2006; 131 (2): 461–469.
6. Valera M., Real M.I., Burrel M., Forner A., Sala M., Brunet M., Ayuso C., Castells L., Montana X., Llovet J.M., Bruix J. Chemoembolization of hepatocellular carcinoma with drug eluting beads: efficacy and doxorubicin pharmacokinetics. *J. Hepatol.* 2007; 46 (3): 474–481.
7. McMasters K.M., Vauthey J.N. Hepatocellular carcinoma. Targeted Therapy and Multidisciplinary Care. New York: Springer, 2011. 302 p.
8. Llovet J.M., Bru C., Bruix J. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: the BCLC staging classification. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 1999; 19 (4): 329–338.
9. Llovet J.M., Di Bisceglie A.M., Bruix J., Kramer B.S., Lencioni R., Zhu A.X. et al. Design and endpoints of clinical trials in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.* 2008; 100 (10): 698–711.
10. Marrero J., Fontana R.J., Barrat A., Askari F., Conjeevram H.S., Su G.L., Lok A.S. Prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma: comparison of 7 staging systems in an American cohort. *Hepatology*. 2005; 41 (4): 707–716.
11. Cillo U., Vitale A., Grigoletto F., Farinati F., Brolese A., Zanus G., Neri D., Boccagni P., Srzen N., D'Amico F., Ciarleglio F.A., Bridda A., D'Amico D.F. Prospective validation of Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging system. *J. Hepatol.* 2006; 44 (4): 723–731.
12. Oliveri R.S., Wetterslev J., Gluud C. Transarterial (chemo) embolization for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 2011;3:CD004787. doi:10/1002/14651858.CD004787.pub.
13. Llovet J.M., Bruix J. Systematic review of randomized trials for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: chemoembolization improves survival. *Hepatology*. 2003; 37 (2): 429–442.
14. Lammer J., Malagari K., Vogl T., Pilleul F., Denys A., Watkinson A., Pitton M., Sergent G., Pfammatter T., Terraz S., Benhamou Y., Avajon Y., Gruenberger T., Pomoni M., Langenberger H., Schuchmann M., Dumortier J., Mueller C., Chevallier P., Lencioni R. Prospective randomized study of hepatocellular carcinoma: results of the PRECISION V study. *Cardivasc. Intervent. Radiol.* 2010; 33 (1): 41–52.
15. Lencioni R., Llovet J.M. Modified RECIST (mRECIST) assessment for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Semin. Liver Dis.* 2010; 30 (1): 52–60.

## CASE REPORTS

***Liver Transplantation in Androgen-Induced Hepatocellular Carcinoma: a Report of Two Clinical Cases***

**Khubutia M.Sh., Novruzbekov M.S., Olisov O.D., Guliaev V.A.,  
Driaev V.T., Magomedov K.M., Chugunov A.O.**

*N.V. Sklifosovskiy Scientific & Research Institute of Emergency Care; 3, B. Suharevskaya, Moscow, 129010,  
Russian Federation*

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is a relatively common case of cancer, which occurs mainly in patients with liver cirrhosis and chronic viral hepatitis. About 10% of HCC occurs in normal liver among young and healthy patients. Anabolic and other sex steroids have been known to induce hepatic lesions in some cases, including HCC. Liver transplantation (LT) can be performed to patients with unresectable tumors in non-cirrhotic liver. Large size of the tumor should not be seen as a contraindication for LT for the patients with non-cirrhotic liver in contrast to HCC for liver cirrhosis (Milan criteria). In this study we will show two our own cases of successful treatment of unresectable HCC via liver transplant with good follow-up and tumor-free survival.

**Key words:** hepatocellular carcinoma, anabolic steroids, liver transplantation.

**Khubutia Mogeli Shavlovich** – Professor, Director of N.V. Sklifosovskiy Scientific & Research Institute of Emergency Care, Chief Transplantologist of Moscow Department of Healthcare. **Novruzbekov Murad Saftarovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Head of Liver Transplantation Department of the same Institute. **Olisov Oleg Danielovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Senior Researcher of the same Department. **Guliaev Vladimir Alekseevich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Leading Researcher, Department of Kidney and Pancreas Transplantation of the same Institute. **Driaev Vladimir Taimurazovich** – Surgeon-Physician of Liver Transplantation Department of the same Institute. **Magomedov Kubai Magomedovich** – Surgeon-Physician of the same Department. **Chugunov Andrey Olegovich** – Cand. of Med. Sci., Senior Researcher of the same Department.

**For correspondence:** Oleg Danielovich Olisov – 3/5, B. Sukharevskaya sq., Moscow, 129090, Russia. Phone: 8-495-628-35-02. E-mail: Dr.Olisov@gmail.com

**● References**

1. Granov D.A. Liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Practicheskaya onkologiya*. 2008; 9 (4): 237–239. [in Russian]
2. Hardt A., Stippel D., Odenthal M., Holscher A., Dienes H., Drebber U. Development of hepatocellular carcinoma associated with anabolic androgenic steroid abuse in a young bodybuilder: a case report. *Case Rep. Pathol.* 2012; 2012: 195607.
3. Pircher A., Medinger M., Dreys J. Liver cancer: targeted future options. *World J. Hepatol.* 2011; 3 (2): 38–44.
4. Clavien P., Lesurtel M., Bossuyt P., Gores G., Langer B., Perrier A. Recommendations for liver transplantation for hepatocellular carcinoma: an international consensus conference report. *Lancet Oncol.* 2012; 13 (1): 11–22.
5. Lerut J., Mergental H., Kahn D., Albuquerque L., Marrero J., Porte R. Place of liver transplantation in the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in the normal liver. *Liver transplantation*. 2011; 17 (Suppl. 2): 90–97.
6. Mergental H., Porte R. Liver transplantation for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma in patients without liver cirrhosis. *Transplant. Internat.* 2010; 23 (7): 662–667.
7. Creagh T., Rubin A., Evans D. Hepatic tumours induced by anabolic steroids in an athlete. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 1988; 41 (4): 441–443.
8. Martin N.M., Abu Dayyeh B.K., Chung R.T. Anabolic steroid abuse causing recurrent hepatic adenomas and hemorrhage. *World J. Gastroenterology*. 2008; 14 (28): 4573–4575.
9. Socas L., Zumbado M., Perez-Luzardo O., Ramos A., Perez C., Hernandez J., Boada L. Hepatocellular adenomas associated with anabolic androgenic steroid abuse in bodybuilders: a report of two cases and review of the literature. *Br. J. Sports Med.* 2005; 39 (5): 27.
10. Klava A., Super P., Aldridge M., Guilloet P. Body builder's liver. Case reports. *J. R. Soc. Med.* 1994; 87 (1): 43–44.
11. Aladag M., Gurakar A., Camci C., Yong Y., Wright H., Nour B., Sebastian A. Compatible ABO mismatch and liver transplantation: a single center's experience. *Exp. Clin. Transplant.* 2006; 4 (1): 467–469.
12. Pillai A., Levitsky J. Overview of immunosuppression in liver transplantation. *World J. Gastroenterol.* 2009; 15 (34): 4225–4233.

**ABSTRACTS**

***Abstracts of Current Foreign Publications***

*Akhaladze G.G., Akhaladze D.G.*

---

**Akhaladze Guram Germanovich** – professor, chief researcher of Liver Surgery Department of Sechenov First MSMU. **Akhaladze Dmitryi Guramovich** – Physician of Liver Transplantation unit of V.I. Shumakov Federal Research Center of Transplantology and Artificial Organs Ministry of Health of the Russian Federation.

**For correspondence:** Akhaladze Guram Germanovich – 4, Kolomenskiy proezd, Moscow, 115446, Russia.  
Phone: +7-449-782-30-83. E-mail: gur371ax@gmail.com