
Guidelines for authors

The data of submitted papers must be original, unpublished and sent never before to any other edition.

The authors will be asked to include 1 complete sets of the manuscript (with full page photo copies) and to supply a Word file of the manuscript, CD or E-mail attachment. All files have to be checked for viruses, which should be confirmed by signed cover letter or floppy disk.

The article must be supplied with recommendation of the appropriate office

Structure of the article:

The title page should include:

- the title of the article;
- initials and the names of all authors;
- the name and location of the department or institution where the work was performed;
- the corresponding author's full name, postal address, phone and fax number, and e-mail address;
- department and position of all authors, their contact requisites;
- signatures of all authors;

Original paper should include the following sections:

- Introduction.
- Materials and methods.
- Results.
- Discussion.
- Conclusion.
- References.

Reviews should include the analyzes of the current medical literature for the last 5 years with critical assessment of analyzed data and original illustrations of the author.

Case reports should be well illustrated (reflecting the fact of the matter) and should include discussion of the problem using data of the literature.

References in the text should be figured in square brackets and should be printed in order of citation and not

in alphabetical order.

Units of measure should be given in the article as SI units.

Articles must include an **abstract** (200–250 words) with next parts: **Aim, Materials and Methods, Results, Conclusion**. Abstract should include the most relevant statistically treated data of recent studies. Instead of phrases like: "The comparative analysis of sensitivity and specificity of sonography and CT in diagnostics was performed", one should use phrases like: "The sensitivity and specificity of sonography was ...% and ...% respectively, meanwhile the sensitivity and specificity of CT ...% and ...% respectively."

A list of keywords must conclude an abstract.

Abbreviations, in the abstract should not be used.

References should be given on a separate sheet. Attention should be drawn to the punctuation marks. Indicate the complete output log data (year, volume, number), pages of article (2012, 10 (4): 55-65), as well as Digital Object Identifier (DOI) and PubMed Identifier (PMID) of the publication (if available).

Figures

Figures must be presented as photoprints, pictures and tables or as TIF or JPEG files with 300 pixels/inch resolution not exceeding 1 Mb.

Top and number of the illustration, authors name and title of the article should be written in pencil on the back side.

Legends for figures should be written on the separate sheet. The title of the figure should be written first. Literal and digital notations should follow thereafter.

Each table should be given on the separate sheet. Data, given in the text should not be repeated in tables.

Materials should be submitted to

Editor in Chief Prof. Edward Galperin
Liver Surgery Department of Moscow Medical Academy,
4 Kolomensky proyezd Hospital #7 115446 Moscow.
Telephone/Fax: (499) 782-34-68. E-mail: edgalp@mail.ru, ashred96@mail.ru

Requirements to Peer Review Process of articles submitted to “Annals of surgical hepatology” magazine

1. The magazine performs peer review of all submitted articles corresponding to its subject. All reviewers are acknowledged experts on the subject of peer-reviewed materials and have publications for the last 3 years. Reviews are stored in publishing house and editorial office for 5 years.

Reviews contain answers following questions:

- a. Whether the paper is sufficiently original and up-to-date?
 - б. Whether the methods used were adequate enough to objectives and whether these methods were modern?
 - в. What results were obtained and whether they follow from the conducted studies; were the conclusions argumentative?
 - г. What is the scientific and practical value of the paper; paper's compliance with ethical standards.
 - д. Whether the statistical analysis was adequate?
 - е. Were the literature data presented sufficiently?
 - ж. Whether the paper is recommended for publication, can not be published, requires corrections or additional review?
3. Does the paper require comments from a reviewer or editorial board?

2. The editorial board addresses to the authors of submitted materials copies of reviews or reasoned refusal, and it is also obliged to send copies of reviews to the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation if corresponding request will be obtained by editorial board.

Requirements for articles publishing

Positive peer review is necessary to publish the article. Date of receiving of the article, its appropriateness to requirements published in each number of journal, as well as compliance with categorization of the journal are also considered.